Frontiers in Applied Dynamical Systems: Reviews and Tutorials 1

Richard Bertram · Joël Tabak Wondimu Teka · Theodore Vo Martin Wechselberger Vivien Kirk · James Sneyd

Mathematical Analysis of Complex Cellular Activity



Frontiers in Applied Dynamical Systems: Reviews and Tutorials

Volume 1

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/13763

Frontiers in Applied Dynamical Systems: Reviews and Tutorials

The Frontiers in Applied Dynamical Systems (FIADS) covers emerging topics and significant developments in the field of applied dynamical systems. It is a collection of invited review articles by leading researchers in dynamical systems, their applications and related areas. Contributions in this series should be seen as a portal for a broad audience of researchers in dynamical systems at all levels and can serve as advanced teaching aids for graduate students. Each contribution provides an informal outline of a specific area, an interesting application, a recent technique, or a "how-to" for analytical methods and for computational algorithms, and a list of key references. All articles will be refereed.

Editors-in-Chief

Christopher K R T Jones, The University of North Carolina, North Carolina, USA

Björn Sandstede, Brown University, Providence, USA

Lai-Sang Young, New York University, New York, USA

Series Editors

Margaret Beck, Boston University, Boston, USA Henk A. Dijkstra, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands Martin Hairer, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK Vadim Kaloshin, University of Maryland, College Park, USA Hiroshi Kokubo, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan Rafael de la Llave, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, USA Peter Mucha, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA Clarence Rowley, Princeton University, Princeton, USA Jonathan Rubin, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA Tim Sauer, George Mason University, Fairfax, USA James Sneyd, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand Andrew Stuart, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK Edriss Titi, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA; Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel Thomas Wanner, George Mason University, Fairfax, USA Martin Wechselberger, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia Ruth Williams, University of California, San Diego, USA

Richard Bertram • Joël Tabak • Wondimu Teka Theodore Vo • Martin Wechselberger Vivien Kirk • James Sneyd

Mathematical Analysis of Complex Cellular Activity

Review 1: Richard Bertram, Joël Tabak, Wondimu Teka, Theodore Vo, Martin Wechselberger: Geometric Singular Perturbation Analysis of Bursting Oscillations in Pituitary Cells

Review 2: Vivien Kirk, James Sneyd: The Nonlinear Dynamics of Calcium



Richard Bertram Department of Mathematics Florida State University Tallahasse, FL, USA

Wondimu Teka Department of Mathematics Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis Indianapolis, IN, USA

Martin Wechselberger Department of Mathematics University of Sydney Sydney, NSW, Australia

James Sneyd Department of Mathematics University of Auckland Auckland, New Zealand Joël Tabak Department of Mathematics Florida State University Tallahassee, FL, USA

Theodore Vo Department of Mathematics and Statistics Boston University Boston, MA, USA

Vivien Kirk Deparment of Mathematics The University of Auckland Auckland, New Zealand

 ISSN 2364-4532
 ISSN 2364-4931
 (electronic)

 Frontiers in Applied Dynamical Systems: Reviews and Tutorials
 ISBN 978-3-319-18113-4
 ISBN 978-3-319-18114-1
 (eBook)

 DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-18114-1

 ISBN 978-3-319-18114-1
 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015953625

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 92C05, 92C30, 92C37, 34C15, 37G15, 37N25

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www. springer.com)

Preface to the Series

The subject of dynamical systems has matured over a period of more than a century. It began with Poincare's investigation into the motion of the celestial bodies, and he pioneered a new direction by looking at the equations of motion from a qualitative viewpoint. For different motivation, statistical physics was being developed and had led to the idea of ergodic motion. Together, these presaged an area that was to have significant impact on both pure and applied mathematics. This perspective of dynamical systems was refined and developed in the second half of the twentieth century and now provides a commonly accepted way of channeling mathematical ideas into applications. These applications now reach from biology and social behavior to optics and microphysics.

There is still a lot we do not understand and the mathematical area of dynamical systems remains vibrant. This is particularly true as researchers come to grips with spatially distributed systems and those affected by stochastic effects that interact with complex deterministic dynamics. Much of current progress is being driven by questions that come from the applications of dynamical systems. To truly appreciate and engage in this work then requires us to understand more than just the mathematical theory of the subject. But to invest the time it takes to learn a new sub-area of applied dynamics without a guide is often impossible. This is especially true if the reach of its novelty extends from new mathematical ideas to the motivating questions and issues of the domain science.

It was from this challenge facing us that the idea for the *Frontiers in Applied Dynamics* was born. Our hope is that through the editions of this series, both new and seasoned dynamicists will be able to get into the applied areas that are defining modern dynamical systems. Each article will expose an area of current interest and excitement, and provide a portal for learning and entering the area. Occasionally we will combine more than one paper in a volume if we see a related audience as

we have done in the first few volumes. Any given paper may contain new ideas and results. But more importantly, the papers will provide a survey of recent activity and the necessary background to understand its significance, open questions and mathematical challenges.

Editors-in-Chief Christopher K R T Jones, Björn Sandstede, Lai-Sang Young

Preface

In the world of cell biology, there is a myriad of oscillatory processes, with periods ranging from the day of a circadian rhythm to the milliseconds of a neuronal action potential. To one extent or another they all interact, mostly in ways that we do not understand at all, and for at least the past 70 years, they have provided a fertile ground for the joint investigations of theoreticians and experimentalists. Experimentalists study them because they are physiologically important, while theoreticians tend to study them, not only for this reason, but also because such complex dynamic processes provide an opportunity to use, as their tools of investigation, the methods of mathematical analysis.

In this volume, we are concerned with two of these oscillatory processes: calcium oscillations and bursting electrical oscillations. These two are not chosen at random. Not only have they both been studied in depth by modellers and mathematicians, but we also have a good understanding – although not a complete one – of how they interact, and how one oscillatory process affects the other. They thus make an excellent example of how multiple oscillatory processes interact within a cell, and how mathematical methods can be used to understand such interactions better.

The theoretical study of electrical oscillations in cells began, to all intents and purposes, with the classic work of Hodgkin and Huxley in the 1950s. In a famous series of papers they showed how action potentials in neurons arose from the time-dependent control of the conductance of Na^+ and K^+ channels. The model they wrote down, a system of four coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations, became one of the most influential models in all of physiology. It was quickly taken up by other modellers, who extended the model to study oscillations of electric potential in neurons, and over the last few decades the theoretical study of neurons and groups of neurons has expanded to become one of the largest and most active areas in all of mathematical biology.

More traditional applied mathematicians were also strongly influenced, albeit at one remove, by the Hodgkin-Huxley equations. The simplification by FitzHugh in the 1960s led to the FitzHugh-Nagumo model of excitability (Nagumo, a Japanese engineer, derived the same equation independently at the same time, from entirely different first principles) which formed the basis of more theoretical studies of excitability across many different areas, both inside and outside cell biology.

Oscillations in the cytosolic concentration of free Ca^{2+} (usually simply called Ca^{2+} oscillations) have a more recent history, not having been discovered until the development of Ca^{2+} fluorescent dyes in the 1980s allowed the measurement of intracellular Ca^{2+} concentrations with enough temporal precision. But since then, the number of theoretical and experimental investigations of Ca^{2+} oscillations has expanded rapidly. Calcium oscillations are now known to control a wide variety of cellular functions, including muscular contraction, water transport, gene differentiation, enzyme and neurotransmitter secretion, and cell differentiation. Indeed, the more we learn about intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration, including periodic plane waves, spiral waves, complex whole-cell oscillations, phase waves, stochastic resonance, and spiking, have encouraged theoreticians to use their skills, in collaboration with the experimentalists, to try and understand the dynamics of this ubiquitous ion.

Many cell types, however, contain both a membrane oscillator and a Ca^{2+} oscillator. The best-known examples of this, and the most widely studied, are the neuroendocrine cells of the hypothalamus and pituitary, as well as the endocrine cells of the pancreas, the pancreatic β cells. In these cell types, membrane oscillators and calcium oscillators are indissolubly linked; fast oscillations of the membrane potential open voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels which allow Ca^{2+} to flow into the cell, which in turn activates the exocytotic machinery to secrete insulin (in the case of pancreatic β cells) or a variety of hormones (in the case of hypothalamic and pituitary cells). However, in each of these cell types, cytosolic Ca^{2+} also controls the conductance of membrane ion channels, particularly Ca^{2+} -sensitive K⁺ and Cl⁻ channels, which in turn affect the membrane potential oscillators. In these endocrine cells, it is thus necessary to understand both types of cellular oscillator in order to understand overall cellular behavior.

Thus, this current volume. In it we first see how the interaction of Ca^{2+} cytosolic with membrane ion channels can result in the complex patterns of electrical spiking that we see in cells. We then discuss the basic theory of Ca^{2+} oscillations (common to almost all cell types), including spatio-temporal behaviors such as waves, and then review some of the theory of mathematical models of electrical bursting pituitary cells.

Although our understanding of how cellular oscillators interact remains rudimentary at best, this coupled oscillator system has been instrumental in developing our understanding of how the cytosol interacts with the membrane to form complex electrical firing patterns. In addition, from the theoretical point of view it has provided the motivation for the development and use of a wide range of mathematical methods, including geometric singular perturbation theory, nonlinear bifurcation theory, and multiple-time-scale analysis. Preface

It is thus an excellent example of how mathematics and physiology can learn from each other, and work jointly towards a better understanding of complex cellular processes.

Tallahasse, FL, USA Auckland, New Zealand Auckland, New Zealand Tallahasse, FL, USA Indianapolis, IN, USA Boston, MA, USA Sydney, NSW, Australia Richard Bertram Vivien Kirk James Sneyd Joël Tabak Wondimu Teka Theodore Vo Martin Wechselberger

Contents

G	eomet	ric Singular Perturbation Analysis of Bursting				
0	scillat	ions in Pituitary Cells				
R	Richard Bertram, Joël Tabak, Wondimu Teka, Theodore Vo,					
ar	nd Mar	tin Wechselberger				
1	1 Introduction					
2	The	Fhe Lactotroph/Somatotroph Model				
3	The Standard Fast/Slow Analysis					
4	The 1-Fast/2-Slow Analysis					
	4.1	Reduced, Desingularized, and Layer Systems				
	4.2	Folded Singularities and the Origin of Pseudo-Plateau				
		Bursting				
	4.3	Phase-Plane Analysis of the Desingularized System				
	4.4	Bursting Boundaries				
	4.5	Spike-Adding Transitions				
	4.6	Prediction Testing on Real Cells				
5	Rela	Relationship Between the Fast/Slow Analysis Structures				
	5.1	The $f_c \rightarrow 0$ Limit				
	5.2	The $C_m \rightarrow 0$ Limit				
	5.3	The Double Limit				
6	Store	Store-Generated Bursting in Stimulated Gonadotrophs				
	6.1	Closed-Cell Dynamics				
	6.2	Open-Cell Dynamics				
	6.3	Store-Generated Bursting				
7	Cond	clusion				
8	App	Appendix				
	8.1	The Chay-Keizer Model				
	8.2	The Lactotroph Model with an A-Type K ⁺ Current				
R	eferend	ces				

The Nonlinear Dynamics of Calcium					
Vi	Vivien Kirk and James Sneyd				
1 Introduction					
	1.1	Some background physiology			
	1.2	Overview of calcium models			
	1.3	Stochastic versus deterministic models			
	1.4	Excitability			
2	ODE models				
	2.1	Calcium buffering			
	2.2	Modelling the calcium fluxes			
	2.3	Model classification			
	2.4	A simple example: the combined model			
3	Bifurcation structure of ODE models				
	3.1	Fast-slow reductions			
	3.2	Pulse experiments and GSPT			
4	Mer	erging calcium dynamics and membrane electrical excitability			
5	Calcium diffusion and waves				
	5.1	Basic equations			
	5.2	Fire-diffuse-fire models			
	5.3	Another simple example			
	5.4	CU systems			
	5.5	Calcium excitability and comparison			
		to the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations			
	5.6	The effects on wave propagation of calcium buffers			
6	5 Conclusion 1				
Aŗ	opend	ix			
Re	feren	ces			

Chapter 1 Geometric Singular Perturbation Analysis of Bursting Oscillations in Pituitary Cells

Richard Bertram, Joël Tabak, Wondimu Teka, Theodore Vo, and Martin Wechselberger

Abstract Dynamical systems theory provides a number of powerful tools for analyzing biological models, providing much more information than can be obtained from numerical simulation alone. In this chapter, we demonstrate how geometric singular perturbation analysis can be used to understand the dynamics of bursting in endocrine pituitary cells. This analysis technique, often called "fast/slow analysis," takes advantage of the different time scales of the system of ordinary differential equations and formally separates it into fast and slow subsystems. A standard fast/slow analysis, with a single slow variable, is used to understand bursting in pituitary gonadotrophs. The bursting produced by pituitary lactotrophs, somatotrophs, and corticotrophs is more exotic, and requires a fast/slow analysis with two slow variables. It makes use of concepts such as canards, folded singularities, and mixedmode oscillations. Although applied here to pituitary cells, the approach can and has been used to study mixed-mode oscillations in other systems, including neurons, intracellular calcium dynamics, and chemical systems. The electrical bursting pattern produced in pituitary cells differs fundamentally from bursting oscillations

R. Bertram (🖂)

J. Tabak Department of Mathematics and Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA e-mail: joel@neuro.fsu.edu

W. Teka

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN, USA

e-mail: wondimuwub@gmail.com

T. Vo Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Boston University, Boston, MA e-mail: theovo@bu.edu

M. Wechselberger Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia e-mail: wm@maths.usyd.edu.au

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015 R. Bertram et al., *Mathematical Analysis of Complex Cellular Activity*, Frontiers in Applied Dynamical Systems: Reviews and Tutorials 1, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-18114-1_1

Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA e-mail: bertram@math.fsu.edu;

in neurons, and an understanding of the dynamics requires very different tools from those employed previously in the investigation of neuronal bursting. The chapter thus serves both as a case study for the application of recently-developed tools in geometric singular perturbation theory to an application in biology and a tutorial on how to use the tools.

1 Introduction

Techniques from dynamical systems theory have long been utilized to understand models of excitable systems, such as neurons, cardiac and other muscle cells, and many endocrine cells. The seminal model for action potential generation was published by Hodgkin and Huxley in 1952 and provided an understanding of the biophysical basis of electrical excitability (Hodgkin and Huxley (1952)). A mathematical understanding of the *dynamic mechanism* underlying excitability was provided nearly a decade later by the work of Richard FitzHugh (FitzHugh (1961)). He developed a planar model that exhibited excitability, and that could be understood in terms of phase plane analysis. A subsequent planar model, published in 1981 by Morris and Lecar, introduced biophysical aspects into the planar framework by incorporating ionic currents into the model, making the Morris-Lecar model a very useful hybrid of the four-dimensional biophysical Hodgkin-Huxley model and the two-dimensional mathematical FitzHugh model (Morris and Lecar (1981)). These planar models serve a very useful purpose: they allow one to use powerful mathematical tools to understand the dynamics underlying a biological phenomenon.

In this chapter, we use a similar approach to understand the dynamics underlying a type of electrical pattern often seen in endocrine cells of the pituitary. This pattern is more complex than the activity patterns studied by FitzHugh, and to understand it we employ dynamical systems techniques that did not exist when FitzHugh did his groundbreaking work. Indeed, the mathematical tools that we employ, geometric singular perturbation analysis with a focus on folded singularities, are still being developed (Brons et al. (2006), Desroches et al. (2008a), Fenichel (1979), Guckenheimer and Haiduc (2005), Szmolyan and Wechselberger (2001; 2004), Wechselberger (2005; 2012)). The techniques are appealing from a purely mathematical viewpoint (see Desroches et al. (2012) for review), but have also been used in applications. In particular, they have been employed successfully in the field of neuroscience (Erchova and McGonigle (2008), Rubin and Wechselberger (2007; 2008), Wechselberger and Weckesser (2009)), intracellular calcium dynamics (Harvey et al. (2010; 2011)), and chemical systems (Guckenheimer and Scheper (2011)). As we demonstrate in this chapter, these tools are also very useful in the analysis of the electrical activity of endocrine pituitary cells. We emphasize, however, that the analysis techniques can and have been used in many other settings, so this chapter can be considered a case study for biological application, as well as a tutorial on how to perform a geometric singular perturbation analysis of a system with multiple time scales.

3

The anterior region of the pituitary gland contains five types of endocrine cells that secrete a variety of hormones, such as prolactin, growth hormone, and luteinizing hormone, into the blood. These pituitary hormones are transported by the vasculature to other regions of the body where they act on other endocrine glands, which in turn secrete their hormones into the blood, and on other tissue including the brain. The pituitary gland thus acts as a master gland. Yet the pituitary does not act independently, but instead is controlled by neurohormones released from neurons of the hypothalamus, which is located nearby.

Many endocrine cells, including anterior pituitary cells, release hormones stimulus-secretion coupling mechanism. When the cell receives a through a stimulatory message, there is an increase in the concentration of intracellular Ca²⁺ that triggers the hormone secretion. More often than not, the response to the input is a rhythmic output due to oscillations in the Ca^{2+} concentration. Here we are interested in the dynamics of these Ca^{2+} oscillations. There are actually two possibilities, and both can be found in pituitary cells. First, Ca²⁺ oscillations can be due to the cell's electrical activity. In this case, oscillations in electrical activity bring Ca^{2+} into the cell through ion channels in the plasma membrane. This is called a plasma membrane oscillator, because the channels responsible for electrical activity and letting in Ca^{2+} are on the cell membrane. Another mechanism for intracellular Ca²⁺ oscillations is the periodic release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores, through channels on the membrane of these stores. The main Ca^{2+} -storing organelle is the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), so this mechanism is called an ER oscillator. In both cases we get rhythmic Ca²⁺ increases. Although the two mechanisms can interact, we will not look deeply into their interactions here and instead focus on each separately. This chapter describes work performed to understand the dynamics underlying these two types of rhythmic Ca^{2+} increase that underlie hormone secretion from the endocrine cells of the anterior pituitary.

Like neurons and other excitable cells, pituitary cells can generate brief electrical impulses (also called action potentials or spikes). Different ion concentrations across the plasma membrane and ion channels specific for certain types of ions create a difference in the electrical potential across the membrane (the *membrane potential*, V). Electrical activity in the form of impulses is caused by the regenerative opening of membrane ion channels, which allows ions through the membrane according to their concentration gradient. The opening of channels is controlled by V, which accounts for positive and negative feedback mechanisms. Usually channels open when V increases (*depolarizes*), so channels permeable to Na^+ or Ca^{2+} which flows into the cell and thus creates inward currents that further depolarize the membrane, will provide the positive feedback that underlies the rapid rise of V at the beginning of a spike. Channels permeable to K⁺, which is more concentrated inside the cells, produce an outward current that acts as negative feedback to decrease V and to terminate a spike. There are many types of ion channels expressed in pituitary cells, and the combination of ionic currents mediated by these channels determines the pattern of spontaneous electrical activity exhibited by the cells (see Stojilković et al. (2010) for review). In a physiological setting, this spontaneous activity is subject

R. Bertram et al.



Fig. 1 Recordings of electrical bursting using the perforated patch method with amphotericin B. **(A)** Bursting in an unstimulated cell from the GH4C1 lacto-somatotroph cell line. **(B)** Bursting in a pituitary gonadotroph stimulated with GnRH (1 nM). Note the different time scale

to continuous adjustment by hypothalamic neuropeptides, by hormones from other glands such as the testes or ovaries, and by other pituitary hormones (Freeman (2006), Stojilković et al. (2010)).

One typical pattern of electrical activity in pituitary cells is bursting. This consists of episodes of spiking followed by quiescent phases, repeated periodically. Such bursting oscillations have been observed in the spontaneous activity of prolactin-secreting lactotrophs, growth hormone-secreting somatotrophs, and ACTH-secreting corticotrophs (Van Goor et al. (2001a;b), Kuryshev et al. (1996), Tsaneva-Atanasova et al. (2007)), as well as GH4C1 lacto-somatotroph tumor cells (Tabak et al. (2011)). The bursting pattern has a short period and the spikes tend to be very small compared with those of tonically spiking cells (Fig. 1A). In fact, the spikes don't look much like impulses at all, but instead appear more like small oscillations. This type of bursting is often referred to as *pseudo-plateau bursting* (Stern et al. (2008)). A very different form of bursting is common in gonadotrophs that have been stimulated by gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH), their primary activator (Li et al. (1995; 1994), Tse and Hille (1992)), as well as other stimulating factors (Stojilković et al. (2010)). These bursts have much longer period than the spontaneous pseudo-plateau bursts (Fig. 1B). Since the biophysical basis for this bursting pattern is periodic release of Ca^{2+} from an internal store, we refer to it as *store-generated bursting*. Both forms of bursting elevate the Ca^{2+} concentration in the cytosol of the cell and evoke a higher level of hormone secretion than do tonic spiking patterns (Van Goor et al. (2001b)). This is the main reason that endocrinologists are interested in electrical bursting in pituitary cells, which in turn motivates mathematicians to develop and analyze models of the cells' electrical activity.

Bursting patterns also occur in neurons (Crunelli et al. (1987), Del Negro et al. (1998), Lyons et al. (2010), Nunemaker et al. (2001)) and in pancreatic β -cells, another type of endocrine cell that secretes the hormone insulin (Dean and Mathews (1970), Bertram et al. (2010)). The ubiquity of the oscillatory pattern and its

5

complexity has attracted a great deal of attention from mathematicians, who have used various techniques to study the mechanism(s) underlying the bursting pattern. The earliest models of bursting neurons were developed in the 1970s, and bursting models have been published regularly ever since. Over the past decade several books have described some of these models and the techniques used to analyze them (Coombes and Bressloff (2005), Izhikevich (2007), Keener and Sneyd (2008)). The primary analysis technique takes advantage of the difference in time scales between variables that change quickly and those that change slowly. This "fast/slow analysis" or "geometric singular perturbation analysis" was pioneered by John Rinzel in the 1980s (Rinzel (1987)) and has been extended in subsequent years (Coombes and Bressloff (2005)). While modeling and analysis of bursting in neurons and pancreatic β -cells has a long history and is now well developed, the construction and analysis of models of bursting in pituitary cells is at a relatively early stage. The burst patterns in pituitary cells are very different from those in cells studied previously, and the fast/slow analysis technique used in neurons is of limited use for studying pseudo-plateau bursting in pituitary cells (Toporikova et al. (2008), Teka et al. (2011a)). Instead, a new fast/slow analysis technique has been developed for pseudo-plateau bursting that relies on concepts such as folded singularities, canards, and the theory of mixed-mode oscillations (Teka et al. (2011a), Vo et al. (2010)). In the first part of this chapter we describe this technique and how it relates to the original fast/slow analysis technique used to analyze other cell types.

One fundamental difference between the spontaneous bursting observed in many lactotrophs and somatotrophs and that seen in stimulated gonadotrophs is that in the former the periodic elevations of intracellular Ca^{2+} are in phase with the electrical activity, while in the latter they are 180° out of phase. This is because the former is driven by electrical activity, which brings Ca^{2+} into the cell through plasma membrane ion channels, while the latter is driven by the ER oscillator, which periodically releases a flood of Ca^{2+} into the cytosol. This Ca^{2+} binds to Ca^{2+} -activated K⁺ channels and activates them, resulting in a lowering (*hyperpolarization*) of the membrane potential and terminating the spiking activity. Thus, each time that the Ca^{2+} concentration is high it turns off the electrical activity. In the second part of this chapter we describe a model for this store-operated bursting and demonstrate how it can be understood in terms of coupled electrical and Ca^{2+} oscillators, again making use of fast/slow analysis.

2 The Lactotroph/Somatotroph Model

We use a model for the pituitary lactotroph developed in Tabak et al. (2007) and recently used in Teka et al. (2011b), Teka et al. (2011a, 2012), and Tomaiuolo et al. (2012). This model can also be thought of as a model for the pituitary somatotroph, since lactotrophs and somatotrophs exhibit similar behaviors and the level of detail in the model is insufficient to distinguish the two. This consists of ordinary differential equations for the membrane potential or voltage (V), an

activation variable describing the fraction of activated K^+ channels (*n*), and the intracellular free Ca²⁺ concentration (*c*):

$$C_m \frac{dV}{dt} = -[I_{Ca}(V) + I_K(V, n) + I_{SK}(V, c) + I_{BK}(V)]$$
(1.1)

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n}{\tau_n} \tag{1.2}$$

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = -f_c(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c). \tag{1.3}$$

The parameter C_m in Eq. 1.1 is the membrane capacitance, and the right-hand side is the sum of ionic currents. I_{Ca} is an inward current carried by Ca²⁺ flowing through Ca²⁺ channels and is responsible for the upstroke of an action potential. It is assumed to activate instantaneously, so no activation variable is needed. The current is

$$I_{Ca}(V) = g_{Ca}m_{\infty}(V)(V - V_{Ca})$$
(1.4)

where g_{Ca} is the maximum conductance (a parameter) and the instantaneous activation of the current is described by

$$m_{\infty}(V) = \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{v_m - V}{s_m}\right)\right)^{-1}.$$
 (1.5)

The parameters v_m and s_m set the half-maximum location and the slope, respectively, of the Boltzman curve. Since this is an increasing function of V, I_{Ca} becomes activated as V increases from its low resting value toward v_m . The driving force for the current is $(V - V_{Ca})$, where V_{Ca} is the Nernst potential for Ca²⁺.

 I_K is an outward delayed-rectifying K⁺ current with activation that is slower than that for I_{Ca} . This current, largely responsible for the downstroke of a spike, is

$$I_K(V,n) = g_K n(V - V_K)$$
 (1.6)

where g_K is the maximum conductance, V_K is the K⁺ Nernst potential, and the activation of the current is described by Eq. 1.2. The steady state activation function for *n* is

$$n_{\infty}(V) = \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{v_n - V}{s_n}\right)\right)^{-1}$$
(1.7)

and the rate of change of *n* is determined by the time constant τ_n .

Some K⁺ channels are activated by intracellular Ca²⁺, rather than by voltage. One type of Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channel is the SK channel (small conductance K(Ca) channel). Because channel activation is due to the accumulation of Ca²⁺ in the cell (i.e., an increase in *c*), and this occurs more slowly than changes in *V*, the current through SK channels contributes little to the spike dynamics. Instead, it

contributes to the patterning of spikes. The current through this channel is modeled here by

$$I_{SK}(V,c) = g_{SK} s_{\infty}(c) (V - V_K)$$
(1.8)

where g_{SK} is the maximum conductance and the *c*-dependent activation function is

$$s_{\infty}(c) = \frac{c^2}{c^2 + K_d^2}$$
(1.9)

where K_d is the Ca²⁺ level of half activation.

The final current in the model reflects K^+ flow through other Ca^{2+} -activated K^+ channels called BK channels (large conductance K(Ca) channels). These channels are located near Ca^{2+} channels and are gated by *V* and by the high-concentration Ca^{2+} nanodomains that form at the mouth of the open channel. As has been pointed out previously (Sherman et al. (1990)), the Ca^{2+} seen by the BK channel reflects the state of the Ca^{2+} channel, which is determined by the membrane potential. Thus, activation of the BK current can be modeled as a *V*-dependent process:

$$I_{BK}(V) = g_{BK}b_{\infty}(V)(V - V_K)$$
(1.10)

where

$$b_{\infty}(V) = \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{v_b - V}{s_b}\right)\right)^{-1} \quad . \tag{1.11}$$

Because this current activates rapidly with changes in voltage (due to the rapid formation of Ca^{2+} nanodomains), it limits the upstroke and contributes to the downstroke of an action potential.

The differential equation for the free intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration (Eq. 1.3) describes the influx of Ca²⁺ into the cell through Ca²⁺ channels (αI_{Ca}) and the efflux through Ca²⁺ pumps k_cc . The parameter α converts current to molar flux and the parameter k_c is the pump rate. Finally, parameter f_c is the fraction of Ca²⁺ in the cell that is free, i.e., not bound to Ca²⁺ buffers. Default values of all parameters are listed in Table 1.

 Table 1
 Default parameter values for the lactotroph model

$g_{Ca} = 2 \mathrm{nS}$	$g_K = 4 \mathrm{nS}$	$g_{SK} = 1.7 \mathrm{nS}$	$g_{BK} = 0.4 \mathrm{nS}$
$V_{Ca} = 50 \mathrm{mV}$	$V_K = -75 \mathrm{mV}$	$C_m = 10 \mathrm{pF}$	$\alpha = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{pA}^{-1} \mu\mathrm{M}$
$\tau_n = 43 \mathrm{ms}$	$f_c = 0.01$	$k_c = 0.16 \mathrm{ms}^{-1}$	$K_d = 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$v_n = -5 \mathrm{mV}$	$s_n = 10 \mathrm{mV}$	$v_m = -20 \mathrm{mV}$	$s_m = 12 \mathrm{mV}$
$v_b = -20 \mathrm{mV}$	$s_b = 5.6 \mathrm{mV}$		

3 The Standard Fast/Slow Analysis

The three model variables change on different time scales. The time constant for the membrane potential is the product of the capacitance and the input resistance: $\tau_V = C_m/g_{total}$, where $g_{total} = g_{Ca} + g_K + g_{SK} + g_{BK}$ is the total membrane conductance. This varies with time as *V* changes, and during the burst shown in Fig. 2, g_{total} ranges from about 0.5 nS during the silent phase of the burst to about 3 nS during the active phase of the burst, so $3.3 < \tau_V < 20$ mS. The variable *n* has a time constant of $\tau_n = 43$ ms. The time constant for *c* is $\frac{1}{f_c k_c} = 625$ ms. Hence, $\tau_V < \tau_n < \tau_c$ and *V* is the fastest variable, while *c* is the slowest.



Fig. 2 Bursting produced by the lactotroph model. (A) Voltage V exhibits small spikes emerging from a plateau. (B) The variable n is sufficiently fast to reliably follow V. (C) The variable c changes on a much slower time scale, exhibiting a saw-tooth time course

The time courses of the three variables shown in Fig. 2 confirm the differences in time scales. The spikes that occur during each burst in V are reliably reflected in n, but are dampened in c. Indeed, c is an accumulating variable, similar to what one observes in the recovery variable during a relaxation oscillation. This observation motivates the idea of analyzing the burst trajectory just as one would analyze a relaxation oscillation with a fast variable V and a slow recovery variable c. That is, the trajectory is examined in the c-V plane and the c and V nullclines are utilized. However, since the system is 3-dimensional, one replaces the nullcline of the fast variable (V) with the fast subsystem (V and n) bifurcation diagram, where the slow variable c is treated as the bifurcation parameter. This is the fundamental idea of the standard fast/slow analysis, which is illustrated in Fig. 3A. The fast-subsystem bifurcation diagram, often called the *z*-*curve*, consists of a bottom branch of stable



Fig. 3 2-fast/1-slow analysis of pseudo-plateau bursting. The 3-branched z-curve consists of stable (solid) and unstable (dotted) equilibria and a branch of unstable periodic solutions (dashed). Bifurcations include a lower saddle-node (LSN), upper saddle-node (USN), subcritical Hopf (subHB), and homoclinic (HM) bifurcations. (A) With default parameter values, the burst trajectory (thick black curve) only partially follows the z-curve. (B) When the slow variable is made slower by reducing f_c from 0.01 to 0.001 the full-system trajectory follows the z-curve much more closely

steady states (solid curve), a middle branch of unstable saddle points (dotted curve), and a top branch of stable and unstable steady states. The three branches are joined by lower and upper saddle-node bifurcations (LSN and USN, respectively), and the stability of the top branch changes at a subcritical Hopf bifurcation (subHB). The Hopf bifurcation gives rise to a branch of unstable periodic solutions that terminates at a homoclinic bifurcation (HM). Thus, we see that the fast subsystem has an interval of c values where it is bistable between lower (hyperpolarized) and upper (depolarized) steady states. This interval extends from LSN to subHB. The c nullcline intersects the z-curve between subHB and USN. This intersection is an unstable equilibrium of the full system of equations.

The next step in the fast/slow analysis is to superimpose the burst trajectory and analyze the dynamics using a phase plane approach. Since the c variable is much slower than V, the trajectory largely follows the z-curve, as it would follow the nullcline of the fast variable during a relaxation oscillation. Below the c-nullcline the flow is to the left, and above the nullcline it is to the right. Hence, during the silent phase of the burst the trajectory moves leftward along the bottom branch of the z-curve. When LSN is reached there is a fast jump up to the top branch of the z-curve. The trajectory follows this rightward until subHB is reached, at which point it jumps down to the bottom branch of the z-curve, restarting the cycle.

As is clear from Fig. 3A, the trajectory does not follow the z-curve very closely. One explanation for this is that the equilibria on the top branch are weakly attracting foci, and the "slow variable" c changes too quickly for the trajectory to ever get close to the branch of foci. Thus, weakly damped oscillations are produced during the active phase, and these damped oscillations are the spikes of the burst. This interpretation is supported in Fig. 3B, where the slow variable is made 10-times slower by decreasing f_c from 0.01 to 0.001. Now the trajectory moves much more closely along both branches of the z-curve. During the active phase there are a few initial oscillations which quickly dampen. Once the trajectory passes through subHB there is a slow passage effect (Baer et al. (1989), Baer and Gaekel (2008)) and a few growing oscillations before the trajectory jumps down to the lower branch.

This analysis, which we will call a 2-fast/1-slow analysis, provides some useful information about the bursting. For example, this approach was used to understand the mechanism for active phase termination during a burst, by constructing the 2-dimensional stable manifold of the fast subsystem saddle point (Nowacki et al. (2010)). This approach was also used to understand the complex burst resetting that occurs in response to upward voltage perturbations (Stern et al. (2008)). We have shown how the z-curve for this pseudo-plateau bursting relates to that for the *plateau bursting* often observed in neurons (Teka et al. (2011a)). This is illustrated in Fig. 4, using the Chay-Keizer model for bursting in pancreatic β -cells (Chay and Keizer (1983)). (The equations for this model are given in the Appendix.) The standard z-curve for plateau bursting is shown in panel A. It is characterized by a branch of stable periodic solutions that are the spikes of the burst. In this figure they emanate from a supercritical Hopf bifurcation (supHB). With this stable periodic branch, the spikes tend to be much larger than those produced during pseudo-plateau bursting and they do not dampen as the active phase progresses. If the activation curve



Fig. 4 The Chay-Keizer model is used to illustrate the transition between plateau and pseudoplateau bursting. (A) The z-curve for plateau bursting, using default parameter values given in Appendix, is characterized by a branch of stable periodic spiking solutions arising from a supercritical Hopf bifurcation (supHB). (B) Increasing the value of v_n from -16 mV to -14mV moves the Hopf bifurcation rightward and converts it to a subHB, with an associated saddlenode of periodics (SNP) bifurcation. (C) Increasing v_n further to -12 mV creates the z-curve that characterizes pseudo-plateau bursting. From Teka et al. (2011b)

for the hyperpolarizing K⁺ current is moved rightward by increasing v_n , the cell becomes more excitable. As a result, the Hopf bifurcation moves rightward and becomes subcritical (Fig. 4B). Most importantly, the region of bistability between a stable spiking solution and a stable hyperpolarized steady state has largely been replaced by bistability between two stable steady states of the fast subsystem: one hyperpolarized and one depolarized. When the activation curve is shifted further to the right (Fig. 4C), the stable periodic branch has been entirely replaced by a stable stationary branch and the z-curve is that for pseudo-plateau bursting. Other maneuvers that make the cell more excitable, such as moving the activation curve for the depolarizing I_{Ca} current leftward, increasing the conductance g_{Ca} for this current or decreasing the conductance g_K for the hyperpolarizing I_K current, have the same effect on the z-curve (Teka et al. (2011b)). In addition to changing the fast-subsystem bifurcation diagram, the speed of the slow variable must also be modified to convert between plateau and pseudo-plateau bursting (it must be faster for pseudo-plateau bursting, which is achieved by increasing the value of f_c). In a separate study, Osinga and colleagues demonstrated that the fast-subsystem bifurcation structure of both plateau and pseudo-plateau bursting could be obtained by unfolding a codimension-4 bifurcation (Osinga et al. (2012)). This explains why the pseudo-plateau bifurcation structure was not seen in an earlier classification of bursting that was based on the unfolding of a codimension-3 bifurcation (Bertram et al. (1995)).

Although the 2-fast/1-slow analysis provides useful information about the pseudo-plateau bursting, it has some major shortcomings. Most obviously, the burst trajectory does not follow the z-curve very closely unless the slow variable is slowed down to the point where spikes no longer occur during the active phase (Fig. 3). Also, the explanation for the origin of the spikes is not totally convincing, since it is based on a local analysis of the steady states of the top branch, while the bursting trajectory is not near these steady states. It also provides no information about how many spikes to expect during a burst. Finally, as illustrated in Fig. 5, it fails to explain the transition that occurs from pseudo-plateau bursting to continuous spiking when the *c*-nullcline is lowered. In this figure, reducing the k_c parameter lowers the nullcline without affecting the z-curve. In both panels B and D the



Fig. 5 A 2-fast/1-slow analysis fails to explain the transition from pseudo-plateau bursting to spiking in the lactotroph model when the *c*-nullcline is lowered. (**A**) Bursting produced using default parameter values. (**B**) The standard fast/slow analysis of the bursting pattern. (**C**) The bursting is converted to continuous spiking when k_c is reduced from 0.16 ms⁻¹ to 0.1 ms⁻¹. (**D**) It is not apparent from the fast/slow analysis why the transition took place. From Teka et al. (2012)

nullcline intersects the z-curve to form an unstable full-system equilibrium (labeled as "A") as well as the unstable periodic branch, forming an unstable full-system periodic solution. Yet, in one case the system bursts (panel A), while in the other it spikes continuously (panel C). This is a clear indication that predictions made regarding pseudo-plateau bursting with this type of analysis may not be reliable.

4 The 1-Fast/2-Slow Analysis

In the analysis above, the variable with the intermediate time scale (n) was associated with the fast subsystem, and the bursting dynamics analyzed by comparing the full-system trajectory to what one would expect if the single slow variable (c) were very slow. That is, by going to the singular limit $f_c \rightarrow 0$ and constructing a fast-subsystem bifurcation diagram with c as the bifurcation parameter. Alternatively, one could associate n with the slow subsystem and then study the dynamics by comparing the bursting to what one would expect if the single fast variable were very fast. That is, by going to the singular limit $C_m \rightarrow 0$. We take this 1-fast/2-slow analysis approach here, where the variable V forms the fast subsystem and n and c form the slow subsystem. This is formalized using non-dimensional equations in Teka et al. (2011a) and Vo et al. (2010), where more details and derivations can also be found. A recent review of mixed-mode oscillations (Desroches et al. (2012)) gives more detail on the key dynamical structures described below.

4.1 Reduced, Desingularized, and Layer Systems

In the following, we assume that C_m is small, so that the V variable is in a pseudoequilibrium state. Define the function f as the right-hand side of Eq. 1.1:

$$f(V, n, c) \equiv -(I_{Ca} + I_K + I_{SK} + I_{BK}).$$
(1.12)

and then

$$\tilde{f}(V,n,c) \equiv f(V,n,c)/g_{max}$$
(1.13)

where g_{max} is a representative conductance value, for example, the maximum conductance during an action potential. Then the dynamics of the fast subsystem are, in the singular limit, given by the *layer problem*:

$$\frac{dV}{dt_f} = \tilde{f}(V, n, c) \tag{1.14}$$

R. Bertram et al.

$$\frac{dn}{dt_f} = 0 \tag{1.15}$$

$$\frac{dc}{dt_f} = 0 \quad . \tag{1.16}$$

where $t_f = (g_{max}/C_m)t$ is a dimensionless fast time variable. The equilibrium set of this subsystem is called the *critical manifold*, which is a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$S \equiv \{ (V, n, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f(V, n, c) = 0 \}.$$
(1.17)

Since *f* is linear in *n*, it is convenient to solve for *n* in terms of *V* and *c*:

$$n = n(V, c) = -\frac{1}{g_K} [h(V) + g_{SK} s_{\infty}(c)]$$
(1.18)

where

$$h(V) = g_{Ca} m_{\infty}(V) \left(\frac{V - V_{Ca}}{V - V_{K}}\right) + g_{BK} b_{\infty}(V).$$
(1.19)

The critical manifold is a folded surface consisting of three sheets connected by two fold curves (Fig. 6). The one-dimensional fast subsystem is bistable; for a range of values of *n* and *c* there is a stable hyperpolarized steady state and a stable depolarized steady state, separated by an unstable steady state. The stable steady states form the attracting lower and upper sheets of the critical manifold (denoted as S_a^+ and S_a^- and where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} < 0$), while the separating unstable steady states form the repelling middle sheet (denoted as S_r and where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} > 0$). The sheets are connected by fold curves denoted by L^+ and L^- that consist of points on the surface where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = 0. \tag{1.20}$$

That is,

$$L^{\pm} \equiv \{ (V, n, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f(V, n, c) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial f}{\partial V}(V, n, c) = 0 \}.$$
(1.21)

The projection of the top fold curve onto the lower sheet is denoted $P(L^+)$, while the projection of the lower fold curve onto the top sheet is denoted $P(L^-)$. Both projections are shown in Fig. 6.

The critical manifold is not only the equilibrium set of the fast subsystem, but is also the phase space of the slow subsystem. This slow subsystem, also called the *reduced system*, is described by

$$f(V, n, c) = 0 (1.22)$$



Fig. 6 The critical manifold is the set of points in \mathbb{R}^3 for which the fast variable *V* is at equilibrium (Eq. 1.17). The two fold curves are denoted by L^+ and L^- . The projections along the fast fibers of the fold curves are denoted by $P(L^+)$ and $P(L^-)$. Also shown is the folded node singularity (FN) and the strong canard (SC) that enters the folded node. From Teka et al. (2011a)

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n}{\tau_n} \tag{1.23}$$

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = -f_c(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c). \tag{1.24}$$

This differential-algebraic system describes the flow when the trajectory is on the critical manifold, which is given as a graph in Eq. 1.18. We can thus present the system in a single *coordinate chart* (V, c) including the neighborhood of the two folds. A condition is then needed to constrain the trajectories to the critical manifold. It is the total time derivative of f = 0 that provides this condition. That is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(V,n,c) = \frac{d}{dt}0$$
(1.25)

or

$$-\frac{\partial f}{\partial V}\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial c}\frac{dc}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}\frac{dn}{dt}.$$
(1.26)

Using Eqs 1.23, 1.24,

$$-\frac{\partial f}{\partial V}\frac{dV}{dt} = -f_c(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c)\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} + \left(\frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n(V, c)}{\tau_n}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial n}.$$
 (1.27)

The reduced system then consists of the differential equations Eqs. 1.24 and 1.27 where n(V, c) is given by Eq. 1.18.

The reduced system is singular at the fold curves (where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = 0$), so the speed of a trajectory approaches ∞ as it approaches a fold curve. (This can be seen by solving Eq. 1.27 for $\frac{dV}{dt}$ and noting that the denominator approaches 0, but the numerator does not, as a fold curve is approached.) The singularity can be removed by introducing a rescaled time $d\tau = -(\frac{\partial f}{\partial V})^{-1}dt$. This produces a system that behaves like the reduced system, except at the fold curves, which are transformed into nullclines of the *c* variable. With this rescaled time, the following *desingularized system* is formed:

$$\frac{dV}{d\tau} = F(V,c) \tag{1.28}$$

$$\frac{dc}{d\tau} = f_c (\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c) \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} \quad , \tag{1.29}$$

where F(V, c) is defined as

$$F(V,c) \equiv -f_c(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c)\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} + \left(\frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n(V,c)}{\tau_n}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial n}.$$
(1.30)

Like the reduced system, Eqs. 1.28–1.30 along with Eq. 1.18 describe the flow on the top and bottom sheets of the critical manifold. They also describe the flow on the middle sheet, but in this case the flow is backwards in time due to the time rescaling. The jump from one attracting sheet to another is described by the layer problem, which was discussed above.

A singular periodic orbit can be constructed by gluing together trajectories from the desingularized system and the layer system such that the resulting orbit returns to its starting point. An example is shown in Fig. 6. Beginning from a point on the singular periodic orbit that lies on S_a^+ , the desingularized system is solved to yield a trajectory that moves along S_a^+ until it reaches L^+ (black curve with single arrow). From here, it moves to the bottom sheet following a fast fiber (black curve with double arrows). From a point on $P(L^+)$ the desingularized equations are again solved to yield a trajectory that moves along S_a^- until L^- is reached. The trajectory then moves along a fast fiber to a point on $P(L^-)$ on the top sheet. From here the desingularized equations are again solved and the trajectory continues until the starting point is reached.

4.2 Folded Singularities and the Origin of Pseudo-Plateau Bursting

There are two very different types of equilibria of the desingularized system: ordinary and folded singularities. An ordinary singularity of the desingularized system satisfies

$$f(V, n, c) = 0 (1.31)$$

$$n = n_{\infty}(V) \tag{1.32}$$

$$c = c_{\infty}(V) = -f(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c) \tag{1.33}$$

and is an equilibrium of the full system Eqs. 1.1-1.3. A folded singularity lies on a fold curve and satisfies

$$f(V, n, c) = 0 (1.34)$$

$$F(V,c) = 0$$
 (1.35)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = 0. \tag{1.36}$$

As previously noted, in the reduced system (Eqs. 1.24, 1.27, and 1.18), trajectories pass through a fold curve with infinite velocity. Folded singularities are an exception: at these points *both* numerator and denominator approach 0, and hence a trajectory passes through a folded singularity with finite speed. In the full system near the singular limit, the trajectory can pass through the fold curve and move along the middle sheet of the slow manifold for some time before jumping off.

A linear stability analysis of a folded singularity indicates whether it is a folded node (two real eigenvalues of the same sign), folded saddle (real eigenvalues of opposite sign), or folded focus (complex conjugate pair of eigenvalues). In the full system, *singular canards* exist in the neighborhood of a folded node and a folded saddle (Benoit (1983), Szmolyan and Wechselberger (2001)). These trajectories enter the folded singularity, in our case along S_a^+ , and move through it in finite time, emerging on the repelling sheet S_r and traveling along this sheet for some time. For the parameter values used in Fig. 6 there is a folded node (FN) on L^+ . In such a case, there is a whole sector of singular canards, bounded by L^+ and the *strong singular canard* (denoted by SC in Fig. 6) associated with the trajectory that is tangent to the eigendirection of the strong eigenvalue of the FN. This sector is called the *singular funnel*. A singular periodic orbit that enters the singular funnel will exhibit *canard induced mixed-mode oscillations* (MMOs) away from the singular limit (i.e., when $C_m > 0$) (Brons et al. (2006)).

According to Fenichel theory (Fenichel (1979)), for $C_m > 0$ the critical manifold perturbs smoothly to a *slow manifold* consisting of invariant attracting and repelling manifolds. We denote the attracting manifolds as S_{a,C_m}^+ and S_{a,C_m}^- , and the repelling manifold as S_{r,C_m} . Since the critical manifold loses hyperbolicity at L^+ and L^- , Fenichel theory does not apply there. Indeed, the critical manifold near a folded node perturbs to twisted sheets (Guckenheimer and Haiduc (2005), Wechselberger (2005)). This is illustrated in Fig. 7, where S_{a,C_m}^+ (blue) and S_{r,C_m} (red) come together near the FN. The numerical technique used to compute the twisted sheets utilizes continuation of trajectories that satisfy boundary value problems, and was developed in Desroches et al. (2008a) and Desroches et al. (2008b).



Fig. 7 The twisted slow manifold near a folded node, calculated using $C_m = 2$ pF with default parameter values. The primary strong canard (SC, green) flows from S_{a,C_m}^+ to S_{r,C_m} with a half rotation. The secondary canard ξ_1 flows from S_{a,C_m}^+ to S_{r,C_m} with a single rotation. The other secondary canards (ξ_2 , ξ_3) have two and three rotations, respectively. The full system has an unstable equilibrium near S_{r,C_m} (cyan circle). The pseudo-plateau bursting trajectory (PPB) is superimposed and has two rotations. From Teka et al. (2011a)

The singular strong canard perturbs to a *primary strong canard* that moves from S_{a,C_m}^+ to S_{r,C_m} with only one twist, or one half rotation. In addition, there is a family of *secondary canards* that move through the funnel and exhibit rotations as they flow from S_{a,C_m}^+ to S_{r,C_m} . The maximum number of rotations produced, S_{max} , is determined by the eigenvalue ratio of the linearization at the folded node. If λ_s and λ_w are the strong and weak eigenvalues of the linearization at the FN, then define

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda_w}{\lambda_s}.$$
(1.37)

The maximum number of oscillations is then (Rubin and Wechselberger (2008), Wechselberger (2005))

$$S_{max} = \left[\frac{\mu + 1}{2\mu}\right] \tag{1.38}$$

which is the greatest integer less than or equal to $\frac{\mu+1}{2\mu}$. For $C_m > 0$, but small, there are $S_{max} - 1$ secondary canards that divide the funnel into S_{max} sectors (Brons et al. (2006)). The first sector is bounded by SC and the first secondary canard ξ_1 and

trajectories entering this sector have one rotation. The second sector is bounded by ξ_1 and ξ_2 and trajectories entering here have two rotations, etc. Trajectories entering the last sector, bounded by the last secondary canard and the fold curve L^+ , have the maximal S_{max} number of rotations (Rubin and Wechselberger (2008), Vo et al. (2010), Wechselberger (2005)). Many of these small oscillations are so small that they would be practically invisible, particularly in an experimental voltage trace where they would be obscured by noisy fluctuations.

Figure 7 shows a portion of the pseudo-plateau burst trajectory (PPB, black curve) superimposed onto the twisted slow manifold. Since it enters the funnel between the first and second secondary canards it exhibits two rotations as it moves through the region near the FN. These rotations are the small spikes that occur during the active phase of the burst. The full burst trajectory, then, consists of slow flow along the lower and upper sheets of the slow manifold, followed by fast jumps from one attracting sheet to another. The jump from S_{a,C_m}^+ down to S_{a,C_m}^- is preceded by a few small oscillations, which are the spikes of the burst. As C_m is made smaller, the burst trajectory looks more and more like the singular periodic orbit, and indeed the small oscillations disappear in the singular limit (Vo et al. (2010)).

4.3 Phase-Plane Analysis of the Desingularized System

Because the desingularized system is two-dimensional, one can apply phase-plane analysis techniques to it (Rubin and Wechselberger (2007), Teka et al. (2011a)). This is illustrated in Fig. 8, where the nullclines and equilibria are shown. The *V*-nullcline satisfies F(V, c) = 0 and is the single z-shaped curve in the figure. The *c*-nullcline satisfies

$$f_c(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c)\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = 0$$
(1.39)

and thus

$$\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c = 0 \tag{1.40}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = 0. \tag{1.41}$$

The first set of solutions forms the *c*-nullcline of the full system and is labelled CN1 in Fig. 8. The second set of solutions forms the two fold curves L^+ and L^- . Intersections of the *V*-nullcline with CN1 produce ordinary singularities and are equilibria of the full system (Eqs. 1.1–1.3). There is one such equilibrium in Fig. 8A, labelled as point A, which is an unstable saddle point of the desingularized system. Intersections of the *V*-nullcline with one of the fold curves produce folded



Fig. 8 Nullclines of the desingularized system. (A) An ordinary singularity (point A) occurs where the *V*-nullcline and the CN1 branch of the *c*-nullcline intersect. This equilibrium is a saddle point of the desingularized system and a saddle-focus of the full system. Two folded equilibria occur where the *V*-nullcline intersects the fold curves. One folded singularity is a stable folded node (FN), while the other is a stable folded focus (FF). (B) When g_{BK} is increased from 0.4 nS to 2.176 nS the saddle point and folded node coalesce at a transcritical bifurcation (TR). This is also known as a folded saddle-node of type II. (C) When $g_{BK} = 4$ nS the ordinary singularity, which now occurs on the top sheet of the critical manifold, is stable. The folded node has become a folded saddle and is unstable

singularities. In Fig. 8A there is a folded focus singularity on L^- and a folded node singularity on L^+ . The folded node is stable, and will generate canards. The folded focus is also stable, but it produces no canards.

One advantage of having a planar system is that it facilitates understanding of the effects of parameter changes. For example, increasing the parameter g_{BK} changes the shape of the V-nullcline and brings L^+ and L^- closer together, but has no effect on CN1. As this parameter is increased the FN and the equilibrium point A move closer together, and eventually coalesce (Fig. 8B). When the parameter is increased further the stability is transferred from the folded node to the full-system equilibrium (Fig. 8C). Thus, the desingularized system undergoes a transcritical bifurcation as g_{BK} is increased. On the other side of the bifurcation, the folded node has become a folded saddle and no longer attracts trajectories off of its one-dimensional stable manifold. The intersection point A is now stable, and is a stable equilibrium of the full system of equations. Thus, beyond the transcritical bifurcation the full system is at rest at a high-voltage (depolarized) steady state. This transcritical bifurcation of the desingularized system is also called a type II folded saddle-node bifurcation (Krupa and Wechselberger (2010), Milik and Szmolyan (2001), Szmolyan and Wechselberger (2001)). In contrast, a type I folded saddle-node bifurcation is the coalescence of a folded saddle and a folded node singularity, and does not involve full-system equilibria (Szmolyan and Wechselberger (2001)).

The transcritical bifurcation of the desingularized system is a signature of a *singular Hopf bifurcation* of the full system (Desroches et al. (2012), Guckenheimer (2008)). The ordinary saddle point of the desingularized system in Fig. 8A is a saddle focus of the full system, and trajectories can approach the saddle focus along its one-dimensional stable manifold and leave along the two-dimensional unstable manifold with growing oscillations. In fact, with an appropriate global return mechanism, this can be a mechanism for MMOs that is different from that due to the folded node (which co-exists with the saddle focus). In this case, the small oscillations are characterized by a monotonic increasing amplitude, which may or may not be the case for canard-induced MMOs. Interestingly, these two mechanisms for MMOs are not mutually exclusive; in Fig. 21 of Desroches et al. (2012) an example is shown of an MMO whose first few small oscillations are due to a twisted slow manifold induced by a folded node and whose remaining small oscillations are due to growing oscillations away from a saddle focus.

4.4 Bursting Boundaries

One useful application of the 1-fast/2-slow analysis is the determination of the region of parameter space for which bursting occurs. A change in a parameter can convert bursting to spiking, as in Fig. 5, or can convert bursting to a stable steady state, as would occur in Fig. 8. Since the pseudo-plateau bursting is closely associated with the existence of a folded node singularity, one necessary condition for this type of bursting is the existence of a folded node. We have seen that a folded

node can be created/destroyed via a type II folded saddle-node bifurcation. That is, when the weak eigenvalue crosses through the origin, and thus $\mu = 0$. A folded node can also change to a folded focus, which has no canard solutions. This occurs after the eigenvalues coalesce, i.e., when $\mu = 1$. Since a folded node singularity exists only when $0 < \mu < 1$, canard-induced mixed-mode oscillations only occur for parameter values for which $0 < \mu < 1$ at the folded singularity. This is predictive for pseudo-plateau bursting, at least in the case where C_m is small. For larger values of C_m the singular theory may not hold up, so bursting may occur for parameter values at which the singular theory predicts a continuous spiking solution.

Another condition for canard-induced MMOs is that there is a global return mechanism that periodically injects the trajectory into the funnel. When this occurs, the trajectory moves through the twisted slow manifold and produces small oscillations that are the spikes of pseudo-plateau bursting. If instead the trajectory is injected outside of the funnel, on the other side of the strong canard, continuous spiking will occur. To quantify this, a distance measure δ is used. This is defined using the singular periodic orbit, and is best viewed in the *c*-*V* plane (Fig. 9). When the orbit jumps from the bottom sheet of the critical manifold at L^- it moves along a fast fiber to a point on $P(L^-)$ on the top sheet. The horizontal distance from this point to the strong canard is defined as δ . If the point is on the strong canard, then



Fig. 9 Projection of the singular periodic orbit and key structures onto the *c*-*V* plane. The upper fold curve (L^+) and strong canard (SC) delimit the singular funnel. The singular periodic orbit jumps from L^- onto a point on $P(L^-)$. The distance in the *c* direction from this point to the strong canard is defined as δ , and by convention $\delta > 0$ when the point is in the funnel. From Teka et al. (2011a)



Fig. 10 The singular analysis predicts whether the full system should be continuously spiking, bursting, or in a depolarized steady state. The folded node becomes a folded saddle above the $\mu = 0$ curve and the ordinary singularity of the desingularized system becomes stable. Between the $\mu = 0$ and $\delta = 0$ curves the two conditions are met for mixed-mode oscillations, and pseudo-plateau bursting is predicted to occur. Below the $\delta = 0$ curve the singular periodic orbit does not enter the singular funnel, resulting in relaxation oscillations. Away from the singular limit (for $C_m > 0$) these become a periodic spike train

 $\delta = 0$, while if it is in the funnel then $\delta > 0$ by convention. Thus, a necessary condition for the existence of canard-induced MMOs, and pseudo-plateau bursting, is $\delta > 0$.

With these constraints on δ and μ one can construct a 2-parameter bifurcation diagram characterizing the behavior of the full system. One such diagram is illustrated in Fig. 10, where the maximum conductances of the delayed rectifier (g_K) and the large-conductance K(Ca) (g_{BK}) currents are varied. In the diagram, the upper curve (magenta) consists of type II folded saddle-node bifurcations that give rise to a folded node, and thus is characterized by $\mu = 0$. Above this curve the full system equilibrium is stable and the system goes to a depolarized steady state. Below this curve $\mu > 0$. The lower curve (green) consists of points in which $\delta = 0$. Above this curve $\delta > 0$, while below it $\delta < 0$. Both conditions for MMOs are satisfied between the two curves, so this is the parameter region where mixed-mode oscillations occur.

4.5 Spike-Adding Transitions

In the region of parameter space where MMOs occur, one can characterize the number of small oscillations (spikes) that occur in different subregions. Such an analysis was performed in Vo et al. (2012), using a variant of the lactotroph model (described in the Appendix) that we have been using thus far. It was motivated by the observation that, in a 4-variable lactotroph model containing an A-type K^+ current,

pseudo-plateau bursting can occur even if one fixes the *c* variable at its average value (Toporikova et al. (2008)). Thus, to simplify the analysis, *c* is clamped and the model reduced to 3 dimensions. This 3-dimensional model is what we consider now, where the major difference with the 3-dimensional lactotroph model discussed previously is that the SK and BK currents are replaced by leakage and A-type K⁺ currents, and the calcium variable *c* is replaced by an inactivation variable *e* for the A-type channels. The bursting boundaries were determined with this model in the plane of the two parameters g_K and g_A . In this case, the left bursting boundary occurs when $\mu = 0$ and the folded node becomes a folded saddle at a type II folded saddle-node bifurcation. Unlike in Fig. 10, however, the right boundary for mixed-mode oscillations occurs when $\mu = 1$ and the folded node becomes a folded focus (Fig. 11). A third boundary occurs where $\delta = 0$, and the fourth boundary occurs where a stable equilibrium of the full system is born at a saddle-node on invariant circle (SNIC) bifurcation. Both conditions for MMOs are satisfied within the trapezoidal region bounded by these line segments (Fig. 11).



Fig. 11 Bursting boundaries and the maximum number of spikes per burst in a variant of the lactotroph model (described in Appendix). The left and right boundaries occur when the folded node becomes a folded saddle ($\mu = 0$) or a folded focus ($\mu = 1$). The lower boundary occurs when the periodic orbit jumps to the strong canard that delimits the singular funnel ($\delta = 0$). The upper boundary occurs when a stable equilibrium of the full system is born at an SNIC bifurcation. The maximum number of spikes (S_{max}) is determined by μ . From Vo et al. (2012)

The maximum number of small oscillations that occur in the mixed-mode oscillations (S_{max}) depends on μ , the eigenvalue ratio, according to Eq. 1.38. In this model, the eigenvalues depend only on g_K , and only slightly on g_A . Thus, the subregions of constant S_{max} are separated by almost-vertical line segments (g_K values where the value of the greatest integer function changes). Near the right boundary $\mu \approx 1$, so by Eq. 1.38 there is at most one small oscillation per burst. (There will be an additional oscillation, due to the trajectory jumping from the lower sheet to the upper sheet of the slow manifold; after the jump, the voltage is initially large and then slowly declines, producing the first spike of the burst.) For $g_K \approx 5$ nS, S_{max} increases to 2, and then to 3 for $g_K \approx 4.4$ nS. The maximum number of oscillations continues to increase as the left boundary is approached, where $\mu = 0$ and $S_{max} \to \infty$.

While the eigenvalue ratio tells half of the story, the other half is determined by where the periodic orbit lands when it jumps to the top sheet of the slow manifold (i.e., it depends on the value of δ). If the orbit jumps to a point close to the primary strong canard, then δ is near 0. In this sector, bounded on one side by the primary strong canard and on the other by the first secondary canard, one small oscillation will be produced, regardless of the eigenvalue ratio μ . This is the case near the bottom of the MMO region in the parameter plane. The distance measure δ becomes larger for larger values of g_A , and thus the number of small oscillations produced during a burst increases as the trajectory jumps into sectors that are further from the primary strong canard. In summary, the parameter g_K controls the eigenvalue ratio μ and thus the maximum number of spikes per burst. It also determines the number of secondary canards, which delimit sectors of the funnel. The parameter g_A controls the distance measure δ and thus which sector the orbit jumps into when it jumps to the top sheet of the slow manifold. In the two-parameter diagram of Fig. 11, the number of spikes per burst will increase as one moves to the left or upward in the MMO region.

If δ is held constant by fixing g_A , and μ is varied by varying g_K , what will the bifurcation structure of the spike adding transitions look like? How are the MMO solution branches connected to one another? That is, how does a bursting branch with n spikes connect to a bursting branch with n + 1 spikes? These questions were addressed in Vo et al. (2012), first by performing a bifurcation analysis with the continuation program AUTO (Doedel (1981), Doedel et al. (2007)), and then by using return maps of both the singular and non-singular systems to better understand the spike adding behavior. Figure 12 shows the L_2 norm of the solution over a range of values of g_K for $g_A = 4$ nS. For g_K below about 3.7 nS there is a stable depolarized steady state (E_D) . This becomes unstable at a subcritical Hopf bifurcation. The family of periodic solutions born at this bifurcation consists of continuous spiking, labeled here as s = 0 (no small oscillations). The first family of bursting solutions (s = 1 branch) connects to the spiking branch at a period doubling bifurcation (at $g_K \approx 3.592$ nS, shown in the left inset) and later at a second period doubling bifurcation (at $g_K \approx 6.127$ nS). This bursting pattern has one spike induced by a folded node, in addition to the initial spike due to the jump up to and initial motion down the top sheet. The next bursting branch, with s = 2, is



Fig. 12 Spike adding transitions over a range of values of g_K and with $g_A = 4$ nS and $C_m = 2$ pF. Only the s = 1 bursting family is connected to the spiking branch (s = 0). All other families of bursting solutions (e.g., the s = 2 family) form isolas. The lactotroph model variant is used. From Vo et al. (2012)

connected to neither the spiking branch nor the s = 1 branch. Instead, it is an isola formed by a pair of saddle-node of periodics bifurcations (right inset of Fig. 12). This family of solutions extends over a smaller range of g_K values than the s = 1family, and the stable portion of the branch in particular is only about a quarter as long as that of the s = 1 branch. Other bursting families are isolas similar to the s = 2 family, and the range of each successive family is shorter than its predecessor. There is an accumulation point as g_K is decreased (toward the point where $\mu = 0$) as the stable range of the bursting families approaches 0 and $s \to \infty$.

4.6 Prediction Testing on Real Cells

Figures 10 and 11 provide predictions about how the number of spikes per burst vary with parameter values and the boundaries between continuous spiking, bursting, and stationary behavior. These predictions have proven to be quite good (Teka et al. (2011a), Vo et al. (2010)) even in cases where the singular parameter C_m is large, within the right range for pituitary cells (≈ 5 pF). Importantly, these predictions also apply to real pituitary cells. For example, if a pituitary cell is spiking continuously, then it should be possible to convert it to a bursting cell by increasing the conductance of the BK-type or A-type K⁺ currents, or by decreasing the conductance of the delayed-rectifier K⁺ current. Also, if the cell is bursting, then the number of spikes in a burst should increase if g_{BK} or g_A is increased, or if g_K is decreased. These predictions were tested using the dynamic clamp technique, which

records the voltage from a real cell using an electrode, then uses a mathematical model to compute a current, which is injected into the cell in real time. Thus, the dynamic clamp allows one to add a voltage-dependent current to a real cell, using the cell's membrane potential to calculate that current (Milescu et al. (2008), Sharp et al. (1993)).

Figure 13 shows the result of adding a BK current to a GH4C1 cell (a lactosomatotroph cell line). With no added BK conductance the cell spikes continuously



Fig. 13 Patch clamp recording from a GH4C1 lacto-somatotroph cell using dynamic clamp to add a model BK-type current (Eq. 1.10). (A) With no added current the cell spikes continuously. (B) When 0.5 nS of BK conductance is added the cell exhibits bursts intermingled with spikes. (C) With a larger added BK conductance, 1 nS, the burstiness is increased, as is the number of spikes per burst. (D) Quantification of the burstiness over the entire time course for the three values of the added g_{BK} . The burstiness increases with g_{BK} . (E) Quantification of the added g_{BK} . The event duration increases with g_{BK}

(Fig. 13A). However, once BK current is added with a sufficiently large conductance the cell exhibits a pseudo-plateau bursting pattern intermixed with spiking (Fig. 13B). Adding more conductance increases the burstiness of the cell, that is, the fraction of events that are bursts. Also, as predicted by the analysis, adding more g_{BK} increases the number of spikes in a burst (Fig. 13C). The change in burstiness with added BK conductance is quantified in panel D, where the burstiness is calculated over the entire time course for each value of the added g_{BK} . Panel E shows the quantification of the mean even duration, including both spikes and bursts. Both the burstiness and the event duration increase with an increase in the added g_{BK} , as predicted by the analysis. The transition between spiking and bursting with addition or subtraction of a BK current using the dynamic clamp was shown repeatedly in GH4C1 cells and primary pituitary gonadotrophs (Tabak et al. (2011), Tomaiuolo et al. (2012)).

It is also possible to use the dynamic clamp to add a negative conductance to the cell, thereby subtracting an ionic current. One can, for example, develop a model for the I_K current that reflects the characteristics of this current in the real cell. Then the dynamic clamp technique can be used to subtract off some of this current from the cell, by adding a negative g_K conductance. This can be superior to using pharmacological agents to remove a current, since such agents are often non-specific. Also, the dynamic clamp approach allows the investigator to subtract off only a fraction of the current, in a controlled manner. We use this approach to subtract off g_K conductance, and thus reduce the effective g_K value in the cell (the native g_K minus that subtracted off with dynamic clamp). The prediction is that a spiking cell should become a burster when a sufficient amount of g_K is subtracted, and as more is subtracted the number of spikes in a burst should increase (Fig. 12). The results of applying the dynamic clamp to a GH4C1 cell are shown in Fig. 14. The top panel shows that the cell is mostly spiking, with a low degree of burstiness and no more than 2 spikes per burst, prior to subtraction of g_K . When some delayed rectifier conductance is subtracted (-1 nS) the burstiness of the cell increases (Fig. 14B). Subtracting off even more conductance (-2 nS) further increases the burstiness and increases the number of spikes per burst (Fig. 14C). These effects are quantified in panels D and E, where burstiness and mean even duration are computed over the entire time course durations. As predicted by the singular analysis, reducing g_K in the cell increases the likelyhood that it will burst, and increases the number of spikes within a burst.

5 Relationship Between the Fast/Slow Analysis Structures

We began with a description of the standard fast/slow analysis technique applied to bursting oscillations in which the full 3-dimensional system is decomposed into a 2-dimensional fast subsystem and a 1-dimensional slow subsystem. We then described an alternate decomposition, with a 1-dimensional fast subsystem and a 2-dimensional slow subsystem. Each approach made use of key structures that



Fig. 14 Patch clamp recording from a GH4C1 lacto-somatotroph cell using dynamic clamp to subtract a delayed rectifier K^+ current (Eq. 1.6). (A) With no added current the cell has very low burstiness, with at most two spikes per burst. (B) When 1 nS of delayed rectifier K conductance is subtracted the burstiness of the cell increases. (C) When more delayed rectifier conductance is subtracted, 2 nS, the burstiness increases further and the number of spikes per burst increases. (D) Quantification of the burstiness over the entire time course for the three values of the subtracted g_K . The burstiness increases when more g_K is subtracted. (E) Quantification of the mean event duration for the three values of the subtracted g_K . The event duration increases when more g_K is subtracted

organized the behavior of the system. In the case of the 2-fast/1-slow analysis (Fig. 3), the z-curve (equilibria of the fast subsystem) and the subcritical Hopf bifurcation point on the upper portion of the z-curve are two key structures. In addition, the nullcline of the slow variable (the *c*-nullcline) is important since it determines the direction of the slow flow. In the case of the 1-fast/2-slow analysis, the critical manifold, the folded node singularity, and the nullclines of the desingularized system are key organizational structures (Figs. 6, 8). In Teka et al.

(2012) the lactotroph model (with SK and BK currents, and calcium variable c) was used to investigate the relationship between these sets of structures. In this section we discuss the key findings of this investigation.

5.1 The $f_c \rightarrow 0$ Limit

The nullclines of the desingularized system shown in Fig. 8A are redrawn in Fig. 15A. These were computed using $f_c = 0.01$, which is the typical value for this parameter (the ratio of free to bound Ca^{2+} in the cell). Superimposed is the z-curve obtained from the 2-fast/1-slow decomposition, computed using $C_m = 10$ pF. This z-curve is the stationary branch of the 2-variable fast subsystem, where c is treated as a parameter, so it tacitly assumes that $f_c = 0$. It is clear that the Vnullcline and z-curve are very similar, and the CN1 nullcline of the desingularized system is the *c*-nullcline of the 2-fast/1-slow system. The point A is the single intersection of all three curves, and is both an equilibrium of the desingularized system and an equilibrium of the full system. The subcritical Hopf bifurcation of the 2-fast/1-slow system lies on the top branch of the z-curve, but below L^+ , which means that it is located on the middle sheet of the critical manifold (Fig. 15B). In addition, the two saddle-node bifurcations of the z-curve are on the middle sheet of the critical manifold. The folded node of the desingularized system is located close to the subcritical Hopf bifurcation point, but on the upper fold of the critical manifold.

We now take the limit $f_c \rightarrow 0$, so that the variable *c* becomes infinitesimally slow. Taking this limit has no effect on the z-curve, which already assumes that $f_c = 0$. It also has no effect on L^+ , L^- , or CN1, since f_c divides out of the equations for these curves. However, it does influence the V-nullcline of the desingularized system,

$$-f_c(\alpha I_{Ca} + k_c c)\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} + \left(\frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n}{\tau_n}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} = 0.$$
(1.42)

When $f_c \to 0$ the first term disappears, and for the second term to equal 0 either $n = n_{\infty}(V)$ or $\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} = g_k(V - V_K) = 0$. Since $V > V_K$, and $g_K \neq 0$, we must have $n = n_{\infty}(V)$, so that $\frac{dn}{dt} = 0$. Thus, the V-nullcline of the desingularized system satisfies $\frac{dV}{dt} = 0$ and $\frac{dn}{dt} = 0$, which are the same equations defining the z-curve.

Although the V-nullcline and the z-curve superimpose in the $f_c \rightarrow 0$ limit, the folded node and the Hopf bifurcation do not (Fig. 16). Instead, in this limit, the Hopf bifurcation remains on the middle sheet of the critical manifold.



Fig. 15 (A) The nullclines of the desingularized system with the z-curve (black) superimposed. (B) The critical manifold of the reduced system with the z-curve superimposed. In both cases, $g_K = 4 \text{ nS}$, $g_{BK} = 0.4 \text{ nS}$, $C_m = 10 \text{ pF}$ (for the z-curve), and $f_c = 0.01$ (for the desingularized system). Redrawn from Teka et al. (2012)

5.2 The $C_m \rightarrow 0$ Limit

While the limit $f_c \rightarrow 0$ makes *c* infinitesimally slow, the limit $C_m \rightarrow 0$ makes *V* infinitely fast. We now take this limit, returning f_c to its default value of 0.01. The desingularized system is formed from the limit $C_m \rightarrow 0$, so taking this limit only affects the z-curve of the 2-fast/1-slow decomposition. This curve of fast-subsystem equilibria is defined by f(V, n, c) = 0 and $n = n_{\infty}(V)$, and C_m appears



Fig. 16 The V-nullcline (green) converges to the z-curve (black) in the $f_c \rightarrow 0$ limit. The folded node and subcritical Hopf bifurcation remain separated. The z-curve was computed using $C_m = 10$ pF. From (Teka et al. (2012))

in neither equation. Thus, the locations of the equilibria that comprise the z-curve are unaffected by C_m . However, the stability of these points does change with C_m , since C_m is in the ordinary differential equation for V (Eq. 1.1). In fact, as $C_m \rightarrow 0$ the Hopf bifurcation migrates toward the fold curve L^+ (Fig. 17).

To understand this convergence to L^+ , note that the Jacobian matrix of the 2dimensional fast subsystem (Eqs. 1.1, 1.2) is

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{C_m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} & \frac{1}{C_m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.43)

where $g(V) \equiv \frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n}{\tau_n}$. The trace of **J** is

trace(**J**) =
$$\frac{1}{C_m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}$$
 (1.44)

and at a Hopf bifurcation trace(\mathbf{J}) = 0. Thus, at the Hopf,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} + C_m \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} = 0 \quad . \tag{1.45}$$

In the $C_m \to 0$ limit the second term disappears, requiring that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = 0$. This is the equation for the fold curve.



Fig. 17 In the $C_m \rightarrow 0$ limit the Hopf bifurcation on the z-curve migrates to the fold curve L^+ . In this figure $C_m = 0.1$ pF, so it is very close to L^+ , but has not yet reached it (inset). The V-nullcline of the desingularized system is computed with the default $f_c = 0.01$. From Teka et al. (2012)

5.3 The Double Limit

In the $C_m \to 0$ limit the Hopf bifurcation point migrated to the upper fold curve, but remained distinct from the folded node singularity since the V-nullcline of the desingularized system does not overlay the z-curve. The two coalesce when, in addition to taking $C_m \to 0$, one takes the $f_c \to 0$ limit. In this double limit the V-nullcline converges to the z-curve and the Hopf bifurcation is on the fold curve L^+ , and thus the folded node singularity of the desingularized system and the Hopf bifurcation of the 2-dimensional fast subsystem of the 2-fast/1-slow decomposition are the same point.

It is interesting to see how the bursting orbit changes as the double limit is approached from the f_c direction and from the C_m direction. Fig. 18A shows the bursting orbit computed with $f_c = 0.01$ and $C_m = 10$ (within the range of values for a pituitary lactotroph or somatotroph), superimposed with the V-nullcline of the desingularized system and the z-curve. In this case, the system is far from any singular limit, so the orbit is only somewhat close to the z-curve and the spikes are large. When f_c is reduced by a factor of 10 the bursting orbit (which is actually more like a relaxation oscillation) is clearly organized by the z-curve (Fig. 18B). During the silent phase it moves along the bottom branch, while during the active phase it moves along the top branch. It passes through the subcritical Hopf bifurcation, and follows the unstable branch for some time before moving away with oscillations of



Fig. 18 Bursting orbits together with the z-curve and V-nullcline of the desingularized system for different approaches to the double limit. (A) With physiological values of f_c and C_m the orbit (cyan) follows neither the z-curve nor the V-nullcline and the spikes are relatively large. (B) As the double limit is approached in the f_c direction the bursting orbit follows the z-curve. (C) As the double limit is approached in the C_m direction the orbit follows the V-nullcline and passes through the folded node. (D) Near the double limit the orbit passes through the folded node, but also moves close to the z-curve and the Hopf bifurcation. From Teka et al. (2012)

increasing size. Thus, it exhibits the slow passage effect that is well documented for an orbit of a fast/slow system as it moves through a subcritical Hopf bifurcation (Baer et al. (1989), Baer and Gaekel (2008)). If f_c is returned to its original value and C_m is reduced by a factor of 100, then the system is organized by the structures of the desingularized system. Fig. 18C shows that in this case the burst trajectory passes very close to the folded node singularity as it moves along the V-nullcline. The spikes are small, and first decrease and then increase in amplitude as the orbit moves along the twisted slow manifold, which is typical for passage near a folded node singularity (Desroches et al. (2012)). If C_m is kept at this small value and f_c is now reduced by a factor of 10, then the bursting orbit again moves through the folded node along the V-nullcline, but this time with more spikes and a much more extreme decrease in amplitude near the folded node. Also, since this is near the double limit, the trajectory passes near the z-curve, and the folded node and Hopf bifurcation are close together.

6 Store-Generated Bursting in Stimulated Gonadotrophs

The pseudo-plateau bursting that we have discussed so far is common in the spontaneous activity of pituitary somatotrophs and lactotrophs, and is sometimes observed in the spontaneous activity of gonadotrophs (Stojilković et al. (2010)). More often, though, gonadotrophs exhibit a tonic spiking pattern that yields little hormone release (Van Goor et al. (2001b)). However, when stimulated by the physiological stimulator gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) the gonadotrophs typically produce a bursting pattern with period of roughly 4–15 sec that results in a much higher level of luteinizing hormone release (Stojilković et al. (2010)). This was first observed using Ca^{2+} imaging, where a train of Ca^{2+} spikes was observed in the presence of GnRH (Shangold et al. (1988)). In a series of papers published in the 1990s, it was shown that this bursting pattern is due to the interaction of a Ca²⁺ oscillator stimulated by GnRH and an electrical oscillator that produces tonic spiking when the Ca^{2+} oscillator is turned off (Kukuljan et al. (1994), Stojilković et al. (1992, 1993), Stojilković and Tomić (1996), Tse and Hille (1992), Tse et al. (1994, 1997)). A key element of this research was the development of a mathematical model that helped with the interpretation of the data and guided experiments (Li et al. (1994, 1995), Rinzel et al. (1996)). In this section we discuss a simplified version of this model that retains the key biophysical and dynamic elements (Sherman et al. (2002)).

6.1 Closed-Cell Dynamics

We begin with the Ca²⁺ oscillator. Here, oscillations in the free cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration (*c*) are due to the cycling of Ca²⁺ into and out of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Denote the ER Ca²⁺ concentration as c_{ER} . In the closed-cell model we analyze first, the total number of Ca²⁺ ions in the cell is conserved; ions simply move back and forth between the cytosolic compartment and the ER compartment. Denote the total free Ca²⁺ concentration in the cell as c_{tot} . Then

$$c_{tot} = c + \sigma c_{ER} \tag{1.46}$$

where σ is the ratio of the "effective ER volume" $\bar{V}_{ER} = \frac{V_{ER}}{f_{ER}}$ (V_{ER} is the ER volume and f_{ER} is the fraction of unbound Ca²⁺ in the ER), to the "effective cytosol volume" $\bar{V}_c = \frac{V_c}{f_c}$. That is,



Fig. 19 Diagram of the Ca^{2+} fluxes in (A) the closed-cell model, (B) the open-cell model with constant influx, and (C) the open-cell model with influx through voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels

$$\sigma = \frac{V_{ER}f_c}{V_c f_{ER}} \quad . \tag{1.47}$$

Rewriting,

$$c_{ER} = (c_{tot} - c)/\sigma \tag{1.48}$$

where c_{tot} is constant. The differential equation for the cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration is

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = (J_{ER-out} - J_{ER-in})/\bar{V}_c \tag{1.49}$$

where J_{ER-in} and J_{ER-out} are the calcium fluxes into and out of the ER, respectively (Fig. 19A).

The flux of Ca^{2+} into the ER is through pumps powered by the hydrolysis of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). These are called SERCA (Sarcoplasmic-Endoplasmic Reticulum Calcium ATPase) pumps. The pump rate is an increasing function of the cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration, and in some models includes a dependence on the ER Ca^{2+} concentration (Sneyd et al. (2003)). We use a simple second-order Hill function of *c* to describe the flux through SERCA pumps,

$$J_{ER-in} = \frac{V_1 c^2}{K_1^2 + c^2} \tag{1.50}$$

where K_1 is the Ca²⁺ concentration for the half-maximal pump rate and V_1 is the maximum pump rate.

The flux of Ca^{2+} out of the ER has two components. First, there is leakage that is assumed to be proportional to the difference in the ER and cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentrations,

$$J_{leak} = L(c_{ER} - c) \ . \tag{1.51}$$

The Ca^{2+} concentration in the ER is greater than that in the cytosol, so the leakage is from the ER into the cytosol. The second component is only active when GnRH binds to receptors in the cell's plasma membrane, generating the intracellular signaling molecule inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP₃). This molecule binds to IP3 receptors in the ER membrane and can activate them. Once activated, the IP₃ receptors behave like Ca^{2+} channels, allowing Ca^{2+} to flow out of the ER and into the cytosol down the Ca^{2+} gradient. Cytosolic Ca^{2+} ions can also bind to regulatory sites on the receptor, increasing its open probability. Thus, the IP₃ receptors are gated by both IP₃ and cytosolic Ca^{2+} . There is a third binding site on each receptor subunit, for Ca²⁺-induced inactivation of the receptor. This negative feedback operates on a slower time scale, so that in the presence of IP₃, Ca²⁺ provides both fast positive feedback and slower negative feedback onto the IP₃ receptor. Thus, the IP₃ receptor has dynamics that are very similar to those of the voltage-gated Na⁺ channel that is ubiquitous in neurons, as was demonstrated by Li and Rinzel (1994). In the expression that we use for the probability that the IP₃ receptor/channel is open the kinetics of IP₃ binding and Ca^{2+} binding to the activation site are instantaneous, while the Ca^{2+} binding to the inactivation site occurs with a time constant τ_h . The IP₃ open probability is then multiplied by the Ca^{2+} gradient, which provides the driving force for Ca^{2+} flux:

$$J_{IP3} = P\left(\frac{c^3}{(c+k_a)^3}\right) \left(\frac{IP_3^3}{(IP_3+k_i)^3}\right) h^3 \left(c_{ER} - c\right)$$
(1.52)

where *P* is a parameter representing the flux through an open channel, k_a , k_i are parameters, IP_3 is the intracellular IP₃ concentration, and *h* is an *inactivation variable* satisfying the differential equation

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = (h_{\infty} - h)/\tau_h \tag{1.53}$$

where

$$h_{\infty}(c) = \frac{K_d}{K_d + c} \tag{1.54}$$

and

$$\tau_h(c) = \frac{A}{K_d + c} \quad . \tag{1.55}$$

Here K_d is the dissociation constant for Ca²⁺ binding to the inactivation site (i.e., the unbinding rate k^- divided by the binding rate k^+). Parameter A is the inverse of k^+ and is convenient for setting the speed of the negative feedback. The exponent