

### Jerrold L. Vitek<sup>a,1</sup> and Luke A. Johnson<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

Edited by Robert H. Wurtz, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, and approved June 6, 2019 (received for review March 11, 2019)

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative movement disorder affecting over 10 million people worldwide. In the 1930s and 1940s there was little understanding regarding what caused PD or how to treat it. In a desperate attempt to improve patients' lives different regions of the neuraxis were ablated. Morbidity and mortality were common, but some patients' motor signs improved with lesions involving the basal ganglia or thalamus. With the discovery of L-dopa the advent of medical therapy began and surgical approaches became less frequent. It soon became apparent, however, that medical therapy was associated with side effects in the form of drug-induced dyskinesia and motor fluctuations and surgical therapies reemerged. Fortunately, during this time studies in monkeys had begun to lay the groundwork to understand the functional organization of the basal ganglia, and with the discovery of the neurotoxin MPTP a monkey model of PD had been developed. Using this model scientists were characterizing the physiological changes that occurred in the basal ganglia in PD and models of basal ganglia function and dysfunction were proposed. This work provided the rationale for the return of pallidotomy, and subsequently deep brain stimulation procedures. In this paper we describe the evolution of these monkey studies, how they provided a greater understanding of the pathophysiology underlying the development of PD and provided the rationale for surgical procedures, the search to understand mechanisms of DBS, and how these studies have been instrumental in understanding PD and advancing the development of surgical therapies for its treatment.

Parkinson's disease | deep brain stimulation | MPTP | nonhuman primate | basal ganglia

Parkinson's disease (PD) affects over 1 million people in the United States and grant 10 United States and over 10 million worldwide. Its cardinal motor signs are tremor, bradykinesia, rigidity, and gait and balance disorders. These exist in various combinations across patients and progress in severity over time. Other features of the disease may present at diagnosis or occur at later stages of the disease and include various combinations of nonmotor signs such as impaired sense of smell, constipation, orthostatic hypotension, freezing of gait, and sleep dysfunction. PD was first described by James Parkinson in 1817 in An Essay on the Shaking Palsy (1). At that time patients had little recourse for therapy. As symptoms progressed patients and physicians searched for a treatment, and many became desperate for some form of therapy to give them relief from their symptoms. This sense of despair led to early surgical interventions where different portions of the neuraxis were destroyed in an attempt to improve motor signs. Bucy and Case (2) and Klemme (3) lesioned the cortex and Browder (4) the internal capsule, while others such as Meyers (5), Spiegel et al. (6) and Spiegel and Wycis (7), Fenelon (8), Guiot and Brion (9), and Cooper (10) made lesions in regions of the thalamus and basal ganglia. Still others destroyed portions of the peduncle (11) or spinal cord (12) or ablated the posterior nerve roots (13). Many patients died while others suffered serious morbidity; however, a few improved. Those who improved were those where lesions were placed in the thalamus or basal ganglia.

The problems of the day were severalfold: There was no rationale for target selection and no understanding of the pathophysiological basis for PD motor signs, and even if one could identify a precise location in the basal ganglia or thalamus that mediated these motor signs there was no methodological approach that could consistently get the surgeons to that location. This led to the development of the stereotactic frame by Speigel and Wycis (14). Early versions of the stereotactic frame, used for patients with pain, movement disorders, and psychiatric conditions, however, were not as successful as hoped and patients continued to suffer from inconsistent benefits and significant morbidity. In the 1950s Swedish neurosurgeon Lars Leksell began a series of pallidotomies in PD patients, gradually moving his lesion location from the anterodorsal part of the pallidum, the traditional target area at the time, to the posteroventral portion. Svennilson et al. (15), in a systematic review of Leksell's 81 pallidotomy cases, reported marked improvement in the cardinal motor signs of PD in his last 19 of 20 patients who received lesions targeted to the posteroventral portion of the pallidum. This region of the pallidum would later be determined through anatomical and physiological studies in monkeys to form the sensorimotor region of the pallidum (16).

Although pallidotomy had demonstrated some success in the 1950s, with the discovery of L-dopa patients were significantly improved without the associated risk of lesion surgery, motor signs were greatly attenuated, and in the 1960s the advent of medical therapy began (17, 18). Although the thinking at the time was that a problem had been solved, it soon became apparent that chronic use of L-dopa leads to its own set of problems in the form of dyskinesia, motor fluctuations, wearing off, and cognitive side effects. Drug "holidays" were implemented in some, which gave modest improvement but were risky and painful for the patient and benefits were short-lived.

The development of motor complications associated with L-dopa led to the rekindling of surgical therapy in the 1980s, and a report of the benefit of pallidotomy by Laitinen et al. (19) was published in 1992. There was a difference now, however, between what was known about the anatomy and physiology of this region

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS license.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: vitek004@umn.edu.

First published December 23, 2019.

This paper results from the Arthur M. Sackler Colloquium of the National Academy of Sciences, "Using Monkey Models to Understand and Develop Treatments for Human Brain Disorders," held January 7–8, 2019, at the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Center of the National Academies of Sciences and Engineering in Irvine, CA. NAS colloquia began in 1991 and have been published in PNAS since 1995. From February 2001 through May 2019 colloquia were supported by a generous gift from The Dame Jillian and Dr. Arthur M. Sackler Foundation for the Arts, Sciences, & Humanities, in memory of Dame Sackler's husband, Arthur M. Sackler. The complete program and video recordings of most presentations are available on the NAS website at http://www.nasonline.org/using-monkey-models.

Author contributions: J.L.V. and L.A.J. designed research; J.L.V. and L.A.J. performed research; J.L.V. and L.A.J. contributed new reagents/analytical tools; J.L.V. and L.A.J. analyzed data; J.L.V. wrote the paper; and J.L.V. and L.A.J. edited and revised the paper and figures.

Conflict of interest statement: J.L.V. serves as a consultant for Medtronic, Boston Scientific, and Abbott and serves on the scientific advisory board for Surgical Information Sciences.

to that at the time of early surgical interventions. A monkey model of PD had been developed and an immense amount of knowledge regarding the anatomy and physiology of the basal ganglia and related pathways had provided a greater understanding of the pathophysiology of PD. In addition, new technology in the form of imaging and more accurate stereotactic frames and atlases had been developed. An advent of surgical therapy for PD had now begun once again, driven in large part by the many years of foundational work dedicated to understanding the functional organization of basal ganglia–thalamocortical (BGTC) circuitry in monkeys.

#### Functional Anatomy of the BGTC Circuit and the MPTP Monkey Model of PD

From the time of early surgical therapies reported in the 1930s, where little was understood regarding anatomical organization and functional connectivity of BGTC circuitry, by the 1990s a mass of research on the BGTC network led to models describing the functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. Drawing upon years of anatomy and electrophysiology studies in monkeys beginning with a seminal study by Mahlon DeLong in 1971 (20) regarding the role of the pallidum in movement, in 1986 Alexander et al. (16) described the basal ganglia in terms of several functionally segregated BGTC circuits. These consisted of motor, oculomotor, associative, and limbic circuits each originating from separate cortical regions projecting to different regions of the striatum, pallidum, and thalamus while returning to the cortical areas from which they took origin. From there models of the intrinsic circuitry of the basal ganglia were developed and the concept of direct and indirect pathways with excitatory and inhibitory connections was established (21, 22). Subsequent tracer studies further defined motor subcircuits (23) and the hyperdirect pathway, a direct projection from the cortex to the subthalamic nucleus (STN) (24, 25).

Although this model of the intrinsic circuity of the basal ganglia developed from studies in monkeys permitted the development of hypotheses regarding the changes that would be predicted in a dopamine-depleted state such as PD, there was little confirmation of these predictions given the lack of an animal model that faithfully reproduced the parkinsonian phenotype. Furthermore, electrophysiological recordings in humans had no control to compare them to. Through a serendipitous series of events that occurred in the early 1980s a series of patients were described who had suddenly developed a phenotypic picture of PD. It was later discovered that they had taken a meperidine analog, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-4-propionoxypiperidine (MPPP), that contained 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP), an impurity created during the synthesis of MPPP, and which is a prodrug to the potent neurotoxin 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+). A batch of the synthetic opioid was obtained from "friendly" drug dealers and was noted to contain almost pure MPTP. The discovery of MPTP and the development of parkinsonism by those who had taken the drug was published in Science in 1983 (26), and it was immediately recognized that this compound had enormous potential to revolutionize the Parkinson's research field. From there multiple investigators worked with the drug and the development of a monkey model of PD was reported in PNAS (27). The monkey model demonstrated most all of the cardinal motor signs of PD except for tremor, including bradykinesia/akinesia, rigidity, gait and postural imbalance, freezing, and dyskinesia when given L-dopa. This was a major breakthrough as now a model of the disease was available. Whether it was a true reflection of the human disease was yet to be determined; however, subsequent recordings from the basal ganglia in humans mirrored those obtained in the MPTP monkey model (28-31). Now when combined with previous anatomical and physiological studies in monkeys a model of PD was available to begin to understand what happens in the parkinsonian state, what changes in the circuit, and whether there could be a way to modulate the abnormal activity to improve the motor signs associated with PD.

# Contributions of Research Using the MPTP Monkey Model to Understanding the Pathophysiology of PD

Using the MPTP monkey model of PD electrophysiological recordings in the STN, globus pallidus internus (GPi) and globus pallidus externus (GPe) were performed by several groups (29, 32-34). Compared to the naive state these studies reported changes in mean discharge rate with increased rates in the STN and GPi and decreased rates in GPe as well as a loss of specificity and increased number of cells responsive to passive manipulation (29, 33). Based on these findings a "rate model" of PD was proposed in which the direct pathway, projections from the putamen to GPi, was underactive and the indirect pathway, projections from putamen to GPe, was overactive (21, 22). This model hypothesized that mean discharge rates in the STN would therefore be increased in PD, leading to excessive activation of the GPi and suppression of thalamocortical activity leading in turn to the manifestation of Parkinson's motor signs (Fig. 1 A and B). A seminal test of this hypothesis was published in Science in 1990 (35).

Bergman et al. (35) performed ibotenic acid lesions in the STN in the MPTP monkey model of PD and observed marked improvement in bradykinesia/akinesia and rigidity (Fig. 1*C*). The development of the MPTP monkey model of PD had led to testable hypotheses that renewed interest in and supported the role of surgical therapy for the treatment of PD. Although these findings were extremely important and replicated by other groups (36–38), surgeons were hesitant to perform subthalamotomies for PD patients given the history of patients developing hemiballismus following ischemic strokes involving this region (39, 40). History, however, had been replete with trials of pallidotomy and although inconsistent in its effect on PD motor signs there was now an understanding of the underlying pathophysiology and a rationale for GPi lesions (pallidotomy) based on these monkey studies. In



Fig. 1. The state of understanding at the time of the anatomical connectivity within the basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuit. (A) Normal. Open and closed arrows are excitatory and inhibitory connections, respectively. SNc, substantia nigra, pars compacta; VL, ventrolateral nucleus of the thalamus; GPe, globus pallidus internus; GPi, globus pallidus externus; STN, subthalamic nucleus. (B) MPTP-induced parkinsonism. Administration of the neurotoxin MPTP damages dopaminergic cells in the SNc, resulting in changes in overall activity in individual projections. Loss of nigrostriatal projections leads to an increase in GPi activity secondary to an increase in excitatory drive from the STN and decreased direct inhibitory input from the striatum. It was hypothesized that excessive inhibition of the thalamocortical circuitry may account for parkinsonian motor signs. (C) Effect of STN lesions in parkinsonism. Lesions in the STN reduced the excitatory drive for the STN to GPi, leading to reduced mean discharge rates in GPi and improvement in motor signs (35). These studies in monkeys with MPTP-induced parkinsonism provided new insights into the pathophysiology of PD and provided the rationale for surgical interventions for the treatment of PD. From ref. 35. Adapted with permission from AAAS.

addition, results from previous studies in monkeys delineating the presence of functionally segregated circuits with motor functions localized to the posterolateral "sensorimotor" region of the GPi provided an explanation for the findings by Svennilson that posterior pallidotomies were more effective than the classical anteromedial target. Moreover, by the 1990s surgeons had better means by which to get to the target given the development of more advanced imaging with MRI and improved technology with newer stereotactic frames. Thus, the revival of pallidotomy emerged, first reported by Laitinen et al. (19) in 1992 and by multiple others over the ensuing years (41-44). Despite numerous reports of success, however, there were also reported failures (45). While some reported marked improvement, others reported transient benefit. In some cases benefit was lost over days (46), while in others it wore off after several years (47, 48). Still others argued it improved some motor signs but not others (49, 50). Although muscimol studies in the MPTP monkey model had demonstrated that improvement in motor signs was dependent on inactivation of the motor region of the pallidum (51) there was debate over the mechanism underlying pallidal lesions, with some arguing that they needed to include GPe (19). This was

troublesome given studies in monkeys had demonstrated that lesions in GPe could worsen PD motor signs (52). This was substantiated when a case report was published of a PD patient who underwent pallidotomy, worsened, and lost their response to levodopa (53). Following the patient's death, it was confirmed that the lesion had significantly involved the GPe.

During this time the number of pallidotomies grew and given PD is a progressive disorder bilateral pallidotomies were required for patients with disease affecting both sides of the body. Complications with bilateral pallidotomy, however, were too frequent, with some reporting cognitive changes, gait disorders, worsening PD, and/or hypophonia (54–57). An alternative approach was needed, and deep brain stimulation (DBS) for PD, developed by Alim Louis Benabid, was brought to the operating room (58).

### DBS and the Role of Monkey Research

Prior to lesioning a subcortical structure, a surgeon would pass a small amount of electrical current to assess whether or not there was an effect on the symptoms to be treated. This was true for patients with tremor undergoing thalamotomy. One such surgeon, Dr. Alim-Louis Benabid, proposed developing a chronic method to apply stimulation to the target brain region and in conjunction with industry brought DBS to the forefront of surgical therapy for tremor. This evolved to the treatment of PD after initial testing in the MPTP monkey model (59) and has since been considered for a variety of neurological as well as psychiatric disorders. Although DBS was demonstrated effective in alleviating the motor signs associated with PD (60), little was understood concerning its mechanism of action. With the advent of DBS and its demonstrated benefit to patients with PD, together with the fact it could be performed on both sides of the brain with few side effects compared to lesioning, a search for how it worked, for mechanisms, began. This took on greater importance as the effect of DBS on PD motor signs varied significantly across centers and within centers across patients (61-63). To improve clinical outcomes and provide greater consistently in its effect it became critically important to understand how it worked.

Early studies on mechanisms of DBS were performed in both animal models and humans with PD and were based on recording near the site of stimulation (64–70). Based on the observation that the behavioral effect of pallidotomy and DBS were similar, with lesions destroying tissue and decreasing output from the lesioned structure, it was hypothesized that DBS must do the same (71). Indeed, early studies in humans conducted during the microelectrode mapping procedure prior to lead implantation found suppression of neuronal activity near the site of stimulation (64, 65). What happened to other brain areas outside the immediate area of the stimulated structure, however, was unknown and could not be assessed in the human. A way was needed in which one could study how DBS affected structures in the circuit not just at the DBS target but at other nodes in the BGTC network, in sites that project to, and receive projections from, the site of stimulation. To address this question, a DBS approach was developed in the MPTP monkey model of PD that closely replicated that in humans by implanting a scaled-down DBS lead and using the same pulse generator that was used in patients (72). Of critical importance was ensuring that any observations of changes in physiological activity could be correlated to improvement in motor signs during stimulation, and methods were devised to assess these motor signs. Last, a method whereby recordings of neuronal activity were able to be made during stimulation, rather than following discontinuation of stimulation (73), was needed. The results of this study were surprising to the DBS community in that STN DBS led to increased mean discharge rates in both GPe and GPi, sites projecting to and receiving projections from the STN (ref. 74 and Fig. 2 A–D). Rather than suppression of output from the STN as hypothesized by many, rates in GPe and GPi were increased during STN stimulation, leading to what could only be construed as activation of output from the site of stimulation. With prolonged periods of stimulation, the increased rate in GPi was sustained (Fig. 2D). Given the rate model was well accepted at the time, this observation of increased mean discharge rates in GPi associated with improvement in motor signs required a reassessment of the rate model. Moreover, therapeutic stimulation resulted in stimulus-synchronized firings, revealed in the poststimulus time histograms, where spike activity in the GPi rather than occurring randomly was focused at ~3.5 ms following the stimulation pulse in STN (Fig. 2B). Stimulation produced a more regular firing pattern compared to prestimulation and poststimulation periods (Fig. 2C), supporting the role of temporal firing patterns, rather than just firing rate, in the basal ganglia in the development of PD and the underlying mechanism of action of DBS (74).

The ability to record neuronal activity throughout the motor circuit in the monkey model and histologically confirm the location of the DBS electrode and recording sites provided insights into the mechanisms underlying DBS that could not be obtained in patients. Its value is further reinforced by the vast number of studies now being conducted in the MPTP monkey model of PD that continue to refine our understanding of how DBS works and use this knowledge to advance the treatment for patients with PD. Subsequent studies have since reported the effect of STN, GPi, and GPe DBS on network activity throughout the BGTC circuit (refs. 75-82 and Fig. 2 E-H). Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that STN, ĞPi, and GPe stimulation, although producing similar behavioral improvement in motor signs, may impose different changes in the network (74, 75, 78, 82, 83), suggestive of the idea that the therapeutic mechanisms of DBS may vary depending on the target and location of the DBS lead within that target.

Supported by monkey studies, PD is increasingly recognized as a network disorder involving changes in synchronized oscillatory activity and coupling within and between cortical and subcortical brain areas. Enhanced synchronization between pallidal segments has been demonstrated in the MPTP monkey model of PD as well as changes in synchronized oscillatory activity within and across nodal points in the BGTC circuit (84-89). Unique to these monkey studies, and unfeasible in humans, is the ability to examine neuronal changes within the same subject in normal and diseased states while varying the pattern of stimulation as well as the target site. Together with studies of local field potential (LFP) activity in humans demonstrating a relationship between beta band activity and severity of PD, alternative models of PD have been developed (90). In addition, novel approaches to DBS are being developed that focus on stimulation patterns directed at desynchronizing oscillatory activity in low-frequency bands, while inducing plasticity in the network associated with longterm improvement in motor signs even with discontinuation of stimulation (91-93). Other human and monkey studies have focused on the development of devices and algorithms to sense neural oscillations in real time and use them to trigger when



Fig. 2. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) mechanisms of action elucidated through monkey studies. (A and B) Examples of neuronal responses occurring during subthalamic nucleus (STN) stimulation in the internal (A) and external (B) segments of the globus pallidus (GPi and GPe, respectively). (Top) Traces show the overlay of 100 sweeps triggered at 10-ms intervals in the prestimulation period and by triggering on the stimulation pulse during stimulation. (Middle) Traces display peristimulus timing histograms (PSTH) reconstructed from successive 7.0-ms time intervals in the prestimulation period and from the interstimulus periods, in the on-stimulation period noted in red. \*Significant increase at P < 0.01; <sup>†</sup>significant decrease at P < 0.01; 0.01 (Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (Bottom) Plots represent the mean firing rate calculated in 1-s bins, illustrating the time course of the firing rate. The on-stimulation period is noted in red. (C) Raster plots of GPi neuronal activity showing that firing patterns changed from irregular with varying interspike intervals into a high-frequency regular pattern during 136-Hz, 3.0-V stimulation. (D) Example of the change in firing rate of a GPi neuron during prolonged 136-Hz STN stimulation. An increased discharge rate was sustained during the 5-min stimulation period noted by the red tracing and bar. Adapted with permission from ref. 74. (E) PSTH of effective (gray) and ineffective (green) stimulation for VA/VLo (Left) and VPLo (Right) neurons. In these plots, prestimulation firing rate has been subtracted to reflect the change in firing evoked by stimulation relative to baseline. The continuous line is a smoothed running average for effective whereas the dotted line is the smoothed running average for ineffective stimulation. Ineffective stimulation produced little change in mean discharge rates in VLo and VPLo, while stimulation that improved motor signs, effective stimulation, elicited a temporal pattern of excitatory and inhibitory changes in mean discharge rate. Adapted with permission from ref. 75. (F) Average PSTHs of

stimulation is delivered (i.e., closed-loop DBS) (94–98). These new technologies and approaches have the potential to improve patient outcomes beyond what can currently be achieved with traditional DBS and continue to be motivated and enabled by research in the MPTP monkey model of PD, still the best model system available with a pathophysiology and phenotype closely paralleling human PD patients.

It should be noted that research in other animal models has also contributed to our understanding of the structure and function of the BGTC (see reviews in refs. 21, 99, and 100). Although rodent studies have played an important role in the development of BGTC models, the size of rodent brains presents a scaling issue for investigations into DBS technologies, and differences between rodent and primate anatomy are significant (101), making translation of findings from rodents to humans difficult. Physiologic, anatomic, and behavioral studies in the monkey have been instrumental to providing key insights into the functional anatomy of BGTC circuitry. These could not have been discovered from studies solely in rodents or other nonprimate species because of the significant differences in behavior and brain anatomies between these species and further emphasize the value of this model in studies related to understanding BGTC circuitry in PD.

## Next Steps to Exploring the BGTC Network in PD and DBS Using the Monkey MPTP Model of PD

Fig. 3 highlights the utility of the MPTP monkey model to explore brain networks impacted in PD to understand and improve DBS technologies. While previous studies have largely focused on single-cell recordings from a single site in the BGTC circuit, newly developed approaches in the monkey model of PD are focusing on simultaneous recordings from large populations of neurons together with LFP activity across several nodes in the network (Fig. 3A). Multiple conditions can be examined using these approaches comparing within each subject the changes that occur within the network from normal to PD at increasing levels of severity (Fig. 3C), and from PD to PD + DBS using a variety of stimulation parameters. One can record the effect of DBS on LFP and unit activity across multiple cortical and subcortical regions simultaneously before, during, and after stimulation and differentiate the effect of stimulation on behavior and network activity with DBS at different sites in the brain (Fig. 3E). Moreover, histological verification can be done to confirm dopaminergic cell loss and locations of implantation and recording sites (Fig. 3B). Such approaches allow for the exploration of novel brain targets and different DBS approaches (Fig. 3D) that can easily be translated to humans and applied to other neurological and psychiatric disorders. These approaches have led to a better understanding of how DBS affects network function and how it alters subcortical-cortical coupling in PD, provided a description of how changes in the level of vigilance can alter network activity, and brought to our attention that physiological biomarkers of PD are dynamic, not static; they vary over time, location within the network, and with the behavioral state of the animal (102). By recording from populations of neurons in motor and nonmotor regions of the cortex simultaneously we have been able to observe how these regions are changed in the PD state and how communication across cortical regions is modified both at rest and during performance of motor tasks. While we can closely monitor changes in the BGTC circuit, these MPTP monkey

populations of pallidal and thalamic neurons during therapeutic STN stimulation, illustrating that stimulation evokes complex temporal patterns of firing activity in these nuclei. (G) PSTHs of STN, GPi, VA/VLo, and VPLo neurons during therapeutic GPe stimulation. (H) Average PSTHs of populations of pallidal, STN, and thalamic neurons during GPe stimulation (from G). Adapted from ref. 78, with permission from Elsevier. These data support the hypothesis that therapeutic DBS activates output from the stimulated structure and changes the temporal pattern of neuronal activity throughout the basal ganglia thalamic network.



Fig. 3. Utility of the MPTP monkey model to explore the brain networks impacted in PD and understand and improve DBS technologies. (A) Next steps in exploring BGTC network activity not feasible in humans include high-density microelectrode arrays and chronically implanted high-channel-count microdrives to record large populations of neuronal activity across multiple nodal sites. (Top Left) Microelectrode array (96-channel "Utah" array; Blackrock) implanted in the arm area of primary motor cortex. Adapted with permission from ref. 102. (Top Right) Reconstructions of DBS leads targeting the STN and GPi; the size of the rhesus macaque brain is amenable to implantation of scaled versions of human leads that can be implanted in multiple targets in the same animal. (Bottom Left) Chronically implanted microdrive with 96 individually moveable microelectrodes (Gray Matter Research) positioned over primary motor cortex (M1), supplementary motor area (SMA), and dorsal premotor cortex (PMd). (Bottom Right) Preoperative MRI merged with postoperative computed tomography scans show a DBS lead targeting the STN and a subset of electrodes in the microdrive on a trajectory targeting subcortical areas. (B) Histological verification can be conducted to confirm dopaminergic cell loss and locations of implantation and recording sites. Coronal sections from a monkey made hemiparkinsonian through left intracarotid injections of MPTP, illustrating (Left) the loss of TH+ neurons in the treated hemisphere and (Right) location of the artifact left by placement of the DBS lead in the STN. Adapted from ref. 92, with permission from Elsevier. (C) Within-subject experimental design. Importantly, the MPTP model can be titrated to enable exploration of changes in network activity across normal and progressively more severe parkinsonian states within the same subjects. Adapted with permission from ref. 85: percentage of pallidal local field potential recordings with significant coupling between the phase of low frequency oscillations and amplitude of high-frequency oscillations (phase-amplitude coupling, PAC) in multiple parkinsonian states. (D) New DBS targets and technologies. The monkey model is well suited for testing new DBS lead designs for stimulation and sensing (adapted, with permission, from ref. 103, © 2016 IEEE), exploring new DBS targets (from ref. 78, with permission from Elsevier), and developing biomarker-based closed-loop DBS strategies (from ref. 97, with permission from Elsevier). (Left) New lead technology for stimulation and sensing LFP activity. (Right) Demonstration of a closed-loop approach to DBS. LFP activity was recorded from contacts 1 and 3, subtracted to achieve a bipolar LFP signal, and bandpass-filtered (9 to 20 Hz) to extract beta LFP activity. A beta LFP envelope was developed through rectification and low-pass filtering, second row on right, and a threshold level was set to trigger stimulation. A control signal, third row, switched stimulation on or off, fourth row. (E) Effects of parkinsonism and DBS on the BGTC network can be investigated in multiple behavioral states (e.g., rest, movement, and sleep). The left column in black represents the normal condition, the second column in red the parkinsonian state, and third column in blue the parkinsonian state during DBS. The top row is arm position during movement; the next 14 rows are individual cells recorded simultaneously from M1, and the bottom 4 rows are LFP activity from different nodal points in the network. The bottom 2 columns represent neuronal and LFP activity recorded in both the awake and sleep states in the motor cortex, thalamus, and GPi simultaneously. The monkey image at the center is adapted from ref. 72, with permission from Elsevier.

models of PD also allow for recordings of neuronal activity in brainstem areas and histological confirmation of recording sites. The pedunculopontine nucleus (PPN) plays an important role in locomotion and has been explored as a DBS target to alleviate gait disturbances which are debilitating in a subset of PD patients but often poorly controlled by STN or GPi DBS. Studies examining the role of PPN DBS in the human, however, have been fraught with inconsistency due in large part to the inability to do detailed, thorough recordings in these regions without inducing severe side effects. Those who have performed DBS in this region in humans cannot be sure of the recording locations, the precise site of lead placement, or the physiological effect on other structures as they are limited to the site of the DBS target. Through wireless recordings of brain activity from parkinsonian monkeys walking on a gait mat one can begin to understand the underlying neuronal signature for freezing of gait, a critical first step to using DBS for its treatment. The importance of monkey models in addressing these questions cannot be overemphasized.

Conclusion

Studies in monkeys have been instrumental in understanding the pathophysiological changes that occur in the brain in PD. Anatomical, neurophysiological, and imaging studies in monkeys have contributed enormously to our understanding of the functional organization of basal ganglia circuitry, in particular the importance of the motor circuit and its role in both hypo- and hyperkinetic disorders. They have led directly to the development and refinement of surgical procedures such as pallidotomy and DBS in the STN and GPi that have helped hundreds of thousands of people with PD and through this understanding its application to other movement disorders such as dystonia and tremor as well as its development for psychiatric disorders. Through the ability to explore neuronal signatures of disease and their relationship to behavior, employing techniques not feasible in humans, we have been able to implement new therapies for people with PD as well as other neurological and psychiatric disorders. These models provide the ability to develop and test hypotheses in a rigorous fashion not possible in the human

- 1. J. Parkinson, An Essay on the Shaking Palsy (Sherwood, Neely, and Jones, London, 1817).
- 2. P. C. Bucy, T. J. Case, Tremor: Physiologic mechanism and abolition by surgical means. *Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry* **41**, 721–746 (1939).
- R. Klemme, Surgical treatment of dystonia, paralysis agitans, and athetosis. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 44, 926 (1940).
- J. Browder, Section of the fibers of the anterior limb of the internal capsule in parkinsonism. Am. J. Surg. 75, 264–268 (1948).
- R. Meyers, The modification of alternating tremors, rigidity, and festination of surgery of the basal ganglia. Res. Publ. Assoc. Res. Nerv. Ment. Dis. 21, 602–665 (1942).
- E. A. Spiegel, H. T. Wycis, H. W. Baird, 3rd, Long-range effects of electropallidoansotomy in extrapyramidal and convulsive disorders. *Neurology* 8, 734–740 (1958).
- E. A. Spiegel, H. T. Wycis, Pallidothalamotomy in chorea. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 64, 295–296 (1950).
- F. Fenelon, Neurosurgery of parkinsonian syndrome by direct intervention on the extrapyramidal tracts immediately below the lenticular nucleus. Communication followed by film showing patient before and after intervention. *Rev. Neurol. (Paris)* 83, 437–440 (1950).
- 9. G. Guiot, S. Brion, Neurosurgery of choreoathetosic and Parkinsonian syndromes. Sem Hop. 28, 2095–2099 (1952).
- I. S. Cooper, Chemopallidectomy: An investigative technique in geriatric parkinsonians. Science 121, 217–218 (1955).
- A. E. Walker, Cerebral pedunculotomy for the relief of involuntary movements. II. Parkinsonian tremor. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis. 116, 766–775 (1952).
- T. J. Putnam, E. Herz, Results of spinal pyramidotomy in the treatment of the parkinsonian syndrome. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 63, 357–366 (1950).
- R. Leriche, Ueber chirurgischen Eingriff bei Parkinson'scher Krankheit. Neurol Zeitblaetter 13, 1093–1096 (1912).
- E. A. Spiegel, H. T. Wycis, M. Marks, A. J. Lee, Stereotaxic apparatus for operations on the human brain. *Science* 106, 349–350 (1947).
- E. Svennilson, A. Torvik, R. Lowe, L. Leksell, Treatment of parkinsonism by stereotatic thermolesions in the pallidal region. A clinical evaluation of 81 cases. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 35, 358–377 (1960).
- G. E. Alexander, M. R. DeLong, P. L. Strick, Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking basal ganglia and cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 357–381 (1986).
- 17. W. Birkmayer, O. Hornykiewicz, The L-3,4-dioxyphenylalanine (DOPA)-effect in Parkinson-akinesia. *Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.* **73**, 787–788 (1961).
- G. C. Cotzias, P. S. Papavasiliou, R. Gellene, L-dopa in Parkinson's syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 281, 272 (1969).
- L. V. Laitinen, A. T. Bergenheim, M. I. Hariz, Leksell's posteroventral pallidotomy in the treatment of Parkinson's disease. J. Neurosurg. 76, 53–61 (1992).
- M. R. DeLong, Activity of pallidal neurons during movement. J. Neurophysiol. 34, 414–427 (1971).
- R. L. Albin, A. B. Young, J. B. Penney, The functional anatomy of basal ganglia disorders. *Trends Neurosci.* 12, 366–375 (1989).
- M. R. DeLong, Primate models of movement disorders of basal ganglia origin. Trends Neurosci. 13, 281–285 (1990).
- J. E. Hoover, P. L. Strick, Multiple output channels in the basal ganglia. Science 259, 819–821 (1993).
- 24. A. Nambu, H. Tokuno, M. Inase, M. Takada, Corticosubthalamic input zones from forelimb representations of the dorsal and ventral divisions of the premotor cortex in the macaque monkey: Comparison with the input zones from the primary motor cortex and the supplementary motor area. *Neurosci. Lett.* 239, 13–16 (1997).
- A. Nambu, M. Takada, M. Inase, H. Tokuno, Dual somatotopical representations in the primate subthalamic nucleus: Evidence for ordered but reversed body-map transformations from the primary motor cortex and the supplementary motor area. J. Neurosci. 16, 2671–2683 (1996).
- J. W. Langston, P. Ballard, J. W. Tetrud, I. Irwin, Chronic Parkinsonism in humans due to a product of meperidine-analog synthesis. *Science* 219, 979–980 (1983).
- R. S. Burns et al., A primate model of parkinsonism: Selective destruction of dopaminergic neurons in the pars compacta of the substantia nigra by N-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80, 4546–4550 (1983).
- H. Bergman, T. Wichmann, B. Karmon, M. R. DeLong, The primate subthalamic nucleus. II. Neuronal activity in the MPTP model of parkinsonism. J. Neurophysiol. 72, 507–520 (1994).
- M. Filion, L. Tremblay, Abnormal spontaneous activity of globus pallidus neurons in monkeys with MPTP-induced parkinsonism. *Brain Res.* 547, 142–151 (1991).
- W. D. Hutchison et al., Differential neuronal activity in segments of globus pallidus in Parkinson's disease patients. *Neuroreport* 5, 1533–1537 (1994).

condition but are easily translatable to humans. PD and DBS is but one example of how valuable monkey studies are in our efforts to understand and treat human disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by NIH National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Grants R01 NS037019, R37 NS077657, and P50 NS098573 (to J.L.V.); Grant R01 NS058945 (to J.L.V. and L.A.J.); and Grant R01 NS110613 (to L.A.J.). Additional support was provided by MnDRIVE (Minnesota's Discovery, Research and Innovation Economy) Brain Conditions Program and an Engdall Philanthropic Donation.

- W. D. Hutchison et al., Neurophysiological identification of the subthalamic nucleus in surgery for Parkinson's disease. Ann. Neurol. 44, 622–628 (1998).
- M. Filion, L. Tremblay, P. J. Bédard, Effects of dopamine agonists on the spontaneous activity of globus pallidus neurons in monkeys with MPTP-induced parkinsonism. *Brain Res.* 547, 152–161 (1991).
- M. Filion, L. Tremblay, P. J. Bédard, Abnormal influences of passive limb movement on the activity of globus pallidus neurons in parkinsonian monkeys. *Brain Res.* 444, 165–176 (1988).
- W. C. Miller, M. R. DeLong, "Altered tonic activity of neurons in the globus pallidus and subthalamic nucleus in the primate MPTP model of Parkinsonism" in *The Basal Ganglia II, Advances in Behavioral Biology*, M. B. Carpenter, A. Jayaraman, Eds. (Springer, 1987), pp. 415–427.
- H. Bergman, T. Wichmann, M. R. DeLong, Reversal of experimental parkinsonism by lesions of the subthalamic nucleus. *Science* 249, 1436–1438 (1990).
- T. Z. Aziz, D. Peggs, M. A. Sambrook, A. R. Crossman, Lesion of the subthalamic nucleus for the alleviation of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)induced parkinsonism in the primate. *Mov. Disord.* 6, 288–292 (1991).
- J. Guridi et al., Subthalamotomy in parkinsonian monkeys. Behavioural and biochemical analysis. Brain 119, 1717–1727 (1996).
- T. Wichmann, H. Bergman, M. R. DeLong, The primate subthalamic nucleus. III. Changes in motor behavior and neuronal activity in the internal pallidum induced by subthalamic inactivation in the MPTP model of parkinsonism. J. Neurophysiol. 72, 521–530 (1994).
- J. P. Martin, N. S. Alcock, Hemichorea associated with a lesion of the corpus luysii. Brain 57, 504–516 (1934).
- J. R. Whittier, Ballism and the subthalamic nucleus (nucleus hypothalamicus; corpus luysi): Review of the literature and study of thirty cases. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 58, 672–692 (1947).
- A. M. Lozano et al., Effect of GPi pallidotomy on motor function in Parkinson's disease. Lancet 346, 1383–1387 (1995).
- M. S. Baron et al., Treatment of advanced Parkinson's disease by posterior GPi pallidotomy: 1-year results of a pilot study. Ann. Neurol. 40, 355–366 (1996).
- A. E. Lang et al., Posteroventral medial pallidotomy in advanced Parkinson's disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 337, 1036–1042 (1997).
- J. L. Vitek et al., Randomized trial of pallidotomy versus medical therapy for Parkinson's disease. Ann. Neurol. 53, 558–569 (2003).
- 45. J. Ghika et al., Bilateral contemporaneous posteroventral pallidotomy for the treatment of Parkinson's disease: Neuropsychological and neurological side effects. Report of four cases and review of the literature. J. Neurosurg. 91, 313–321 (1999).
- J. L. Vitek et al., Microelectrode-guided pallidotomy: Technical approach and its application in medically intractable Parkinson's disease. J. Neurosurg. 88, 1027–1043 (1998).
- A. Samii et al., Reassessment of unilateral pallidotomy in Parkinson's disease. A 2year follow-up study. Brain 122, 417–425 (1999).
- P. K. Pal et al., Long term outcome of unilateral pallidotomy: Follow up of 15 patients for 3 years. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 69, 337–344 (2000).
- F. Johansson, J. Malm, E. Nordh, M. Hariz, Usefulness of pallidotomy in advanced Parkinson's disease. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 62, 125–132 (1997).
- H. Narabayashi, N. Miyashita, Y. Hattori, K. Saito, K. Endo, Posteroventral pallidotomy: Its effect on motor symptoms and scores of MMPI test in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 3, 7–20 (1997).
- M. S. Baron, T. Wichmann, D. Ma, M. R. DeLong, Effects of transient focal inactivation of the basal ganglia in parkinsonian primates. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 592–599 (2002).
- J. Zhang, G. S. Russo, K. Mewes, D. B. Rye, J. L. Vitek, Lesions in monkey globus pallidus externus exacerbate parkinsonian symptoms. *Exp. Neurol.* **199**, 446–453 (2006).
- L. E. Munro-Davies et al., Lateral pallidotomy exacerbates akinesia in the Parkinsonian patient. J. Clin. Neurosci. 6, 474–476 (1999).
- A. E. Lang et al., Posteroventral medial pallidotomy in Parkinson's disease. J. Neurol. 246 (suppl. 2), II28–II41 (1999).
- J. Favre, K. J. Burchiel, J. M. Taha, J. Hammerstad, Outcome of unilateral and bilateral pallidotomy for Parkinson's disease: Patient assessment. *Neurosurgery* 46, 344–353, discussion 353–355 (2000).
- M. Merello, S. Starkstein, M. I. Nouzeilles, G. Kuzis, R. Leiguarda, Bilateral pallidotomy for treatment of Parkinson's disease induced corticobulbar syndrome and psychic akinesia avoidable by globus pallidus lesion combined with contralateral stimulation. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 71, 611–614 (2001).
- 57. S. G. Parkin et al., Unilateral and bilateral pallidotomy for idiopathic Parkinson's disease: A case series of 115 patients. *Mov. Disord.* **17**, 682–692 (2002).

Vitek and Johnson

NEUROSCIENCE

- A. L. Benabid, P. Pollak, A. Louveau, S. Henry, J. de Rougemont, Combined (thalamotomy and stimulation) stereotactic surgery of the VIM thalamic nucleus for bilateral Parkinson disease. *Appl. Neurophysiol.* 50, 344–346 (1987).
- A. Benazzouz, C. Gross, J. Féger, T. Boraud, B. Bioulac, Reversal of rigidity and improvement in motor performance by subthalamic high-frequency stimulation in MPTP-treated monkeys. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 5, 382–389 (1993).
- P. Krack et al., Five-year follow-up of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in advanced Parkinson's disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 349, 1925–1934 (2003).
- J. A. Obeso et al.; Deep-Brain Stimulation for Parkinson's Disease Study Group, Deepbrain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus or the pars interna of the globus pallidus in Parkinson's disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 345, 956–963 (2001).
- 62. G. Kleiner-Fisman et al., Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation: Summary and meta-analysis of outcomes. *Mov. Disord.* **21** (suppl. 14), S290–S304 (2006).
- 63. A. Zaidel, H. Bergman, Y. Ritov, Z. Israel, Levodopa and subthalamic deep brain stimulation responses are not congruent. *Mov. Disord.* **25**, 2379–2386 (2010).
- J. O. Dostrovsky et al., Microstimulation-induced inhibition of neuronal firing in human globus pallidus. J. Neurophysiol. 84, 570–574 (2000).
- M. Filali, W. D. Hutchison, V. N. Palter, A. M. Lozano, J. O. Dostrovsky, Stimulationinduced inhibition of neuronal firing in human subthalamic nucleus. *Exp. Brain Res.* 156, 274–281 (2004).
- M.-L. Welter *et al.*, Effects of high-frequency stimulation on subthalamic neuronal activity in parkinsonian patients. *Arch. Neurol.* 61, 89–96 (2004).
- W. Meissner et al., Subthalamic high frequency stimulation resets subthalamic firing and reduces abnormal oscillations. Brain 128, 2372–2382 (2005).
- A. Moran, E. Stein, H. Tischler, K. Belelovsky, I. Bar-Gad, Dynamic stereotypic responses of Basal Ganglia neurons to subthalamic nucleus high-frequency stimulation in the parkinsonian primate. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 5, 21 (2011).
- C.-H. Tai *et al.*, Electrophysiological and metabolic evidence that high-frequency stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus bridles neuronal activity in the subthalamic nucleus and the substantia nigra reticulata. *FASEB J.* **17**, 1820–1830 (2003).
- Y. R. Wu, R. Levy, P. Ashby, R. R. Tasker, J. O. Dostrovsky, Does stimulation of the GPi control dyskinesia by activating inhibitory axons? *Mov. Disord.* 16, 208–216 (2001).
- A. L. Benabid *et al.*, Deep brain stimulation of the corpus luysi (subthalamic nucleus) and other targets in Parkinson's disease. Extension to new indications such as dystonia and epilepsy. *J. Neurol.* 248 (suppl. 3), III37–III47 (2001).
- C. M. Elder, T. Hashimoto, J. Zhang, J. L. Vitek, Chronic implantation of deep brain stimulation leads in animal models of neurological disorders. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 142, 11–16 (2005).
- T. Hashimoto, C. M. Elder, J. L. Vitek, A template subtraction method for stimulus artifact removal in high-frequency deep brain stimulation. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 113, 181–186 (2002).
- T. Hashimoto, C. M. Elder, M. S. Okun, S. K. Patrick, J. L. Vitek, Stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus changes the firing pattern of pallidal neurons. J. Neurosci. 23, 1916–1923 (2003).
- W. Xu, G. S. Russo, T. Hashimoto, J. Zhang, J. L. Vitek, Subthalamic nucleus stimulation modulates thalamic neuronal activity. J. Neurosci. 28, 11916–11924 (2008).
- M. D. Johnson, J. L. Vitek, C. C. McIntyre, Pallidal stimulation that improves parkinsonian motor symptoms also modulates neuronal firing patterns in primary motor cortex in the MPTP-treated monkey. *Exp. Neurol.* 219, 359–362 (2009).
- K. W. McCairn, R. S. Turner, Deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus internus in the parkinsonian primate: Local entrainment and suppression of low-frequency oscillations. J. Neurophysiol. 101, 1941–1960 (2009).
- J. L. Vitek, J. Zhang, T. Hashimoto, G. S. Russo, K. B. Baker, External pallidal stimulation improves parkinsonian motor signs and modulates neuronal activity throughout the basal ganglia thalamic network. *Exp. Neurol.* 233, 581–586 (2012).
- J. Zhang, Z. I. Wang, K. B. Baker, J. L. Vitek, Effect of globus pallidus internus stimulation on neuronal activity in the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus in the primate model of Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 233, 575–580 (2012).
- F. Agnesi, A. Muralidharan, K. B. Baker, J. L. Vitek, M. D. Johnson, Fidelity of frequency and phase entrainment of circuit-level spike activity during DBS. J. Neurophysiol. 114, 825–834 (2015).

- K. W. McCairn, R. S. Turner, Pallidal stimulation suppresses pathological dysrhythmia in the Parkinsonian motor cortex. J. Neurophysiol 113, 2537–2548 (2015).
- A. Muralidharan et al., Modulation of neuronal activity in the motor thalamus during GPi-DBS in the MPTP nonhuman primate model of Parkinson's disease. Brain Stimul. 10, 126–138 (2017).
- J. L. Vitek, T. Hashimoto, J. Peoples, M. R. DeLong, R. A. Bakay, Acute stimulation in the external segment of the globus pallidus improves parkinsonian motor signs. *Mov. Disord.* 19, 907–915 (2004).
- A. Devergnas, D. Pittard, D. Bliwise, T. Wichmann, Relationship between oscillatory activity in the cortico-basal ganglia network and parkinsonism in MPTP-treated monkeys. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 68, 156–166 (2014).
- A. T. Connolly *et al.*, Modulations in oscillatory frequency and coupling in globus pallidus with increasing parkinsonian severity. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 6231–6240 (2015).
- A. Muralidharan et al., Physiological changes in the pallidum in a progressive model of Parkinson's disease: Are oscillations enough? Exp. Neurol. 279, 187–196 (2016).
- D. Escobar et al., Parkinsonism and vigilance: Alteration in neural oscillatory activity and phase-amplitude coupling in the basal ganglia and motor cortex. J. Neurophysiol 118, 2654–2669 (2017).
- J. Wang et al., Network-wide oscillations in the parkinsonian state: Alterations in neuronal activities occur in the premotor cortex in parkinsonian nonhuman primates. J. Neurophysiol. 117, 2242–2249 (2017).
- A. Devergnas, M. Caiola, D. Pittard, T. Wichmann, Cortical phase-amplitude coupling in a progressive model of parkinsonism in nonhuman primates. *Cereb. Cortex* 29, 167–177 (2019).
- A. Eusebio, P. Brown, Oscillatory activity in the basal ganglia. Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 13 (suppl. 3), S434–S436 (2007).
- O. V. Popovych, P. A. Tass, Desynchronizing electrical and sensory coordinated reset neuromodulation. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 6, 58 (2012).
- 92. P. A. Tass et al., Coordinated reset has sustained aftereffects in Parkinsonian monkeys. Ann. Neurol. 72, 816–820 (2012).
- J. Wang et al., Coordinated reset deep brain stimulation of subthalamic nucleus produces Long-lasting, dose-dependent motor improvements in the 1-methyl-4phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine non-human primate model of parkinsonism. Brain Stimul. 9, 609–617 (2016).
- 94. S. Little *et al.*, Adaptive deep brain stimulation in advanced Parkinson disease. *Ann. Neurol.* **74**, 449–457 (2013).
- M. Rosa et al., Adaptive deep brain stimulation in a freely moving Parkinsonian patient. Mov. Disord. 30, 1003–1005 (2015).
- A. T. Connolly et al., Local field potential recordings in a non-human primate model of Parkinsons disease using the Activa PC + S neurostimulator. J. Neural Eng. 12, 066012 (2015).
- L. A. Johnson et al., Closed-loop deep brain stimulation effects on parkinsonian motor symptoms in a non-human primate–Is beta enough? Brain Stimul. 9, 892–896 (2016).
- N. C. Swann et al., Adaptive deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease using motor cortex sensing. J. Neural Eng. 15, 046006 (2018).
- S. S. Pappas, D. K. Leventhal, R. L. Albin, W. T. Dauer, Mouse models of neurodevelopmental disease of the basal ganglia and associated circuits. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 109, 97–169 (2014).
- P. Calabresi, B. Picconi, A. Tozzi, V. Ghiglieri, M. Di Filippo, Direct and indirect pathways of basal ganglia: A critical reappraisal. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1022–1030 (2014).
- 101. C. D. Hardman et al., Comparison of the basal ganglia in rats, marmosets, macaques, baboons, and humans: Volume and neuronal number for the output, internal relay, and striatal modulating nuclei. J. Comp. Neurol. 445, 238–255 (2002).
- 102. D. Escobar Sanabria et al., Parkinsonism and vigilance: Alteration in neural oscillatory activity and phase-amplitude coupling in the basal ganglia and motor cortex. J. Neurophysiol. 118, 2654–2669 (2017).
- A. T. Connolly *et al.*, A novel lead design for modulation and sensing of deep brain structures. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 63, 148–157 (2016).